Three-Loop Equation of State of QED at High Temperature

Claudio Corianò^{1.}* and Rajesh R. Parwani^{2.}*

¹High Energy Physics Division, Argonne National Laboratory, 9700 South Cass, Argonne, Illinois 60439

²Service de Physique Théorique, CE-Saclay, 91191 Gif-sur-Yvette, France

(Received 6 May 1994)

We present the three-loop contribution (order e^4) to the pressure of massless quantum electrodynamics at nonzero temperature. The calculation is performed within the imaginary time formalism. Dimensional regularization is used to handle the usual, intermediate stage, ultraviolet and infrared singularities, and also to prevent overcounting of diagrams during resummation.

PACS numbers: 11.10.Wx, 12.20.Ds

The equation of state (EOS) of relativistic quantum electrodynamics (QED) at nonzero temperature (T) and chemical potential (μ) is of relevance in several astrophysical contexts [1–4]. It was obtained by Akhiezer and Peletminskii to the third order (e^3) more than three decades ago, while the fourth order (e^4) contribution at T = 0, but nonzero μ , was then obtained for QED and quantum chromodynamics (QCD) by Freedman and McLerran [2] and by Baluni [3]. However, to date, the analogous 3-loop calculation for $T \neq 0$ has, to our knowledge, not been performed, presumably because of the greater technical difficulty in dealing with overlapping diagrams in the presence of Bose-Einstein and Fermi-Dirac statistical factors.

In this Letter we present the order e^4 contribution to the pressure of a QED plasma at $T \neq 0$ (and $\mu = 0$), but for the case of massless electrons. This will also be the leading contribution at high temperature for realistic QED with massive electrons.

Our motivation for this high order calculation is twofold. First, for phenomenological applications it is helpful to know how big corrections to the lower order EOS can be. Second, it serves as a prototype to illustrate techniques which may be used to perform similar calculations in QCD. Recall that in QCD asymptotic freedom suggests [5] that at high T and/or density hadronic matter will transform into a weakly interacting quark-gluon plasma, a novel state of matter that is currently under intense study [6]. The EOS for the high-temperature phase of QCD was determined by Kapusta to third order (g^3) [7], while Toimela [8] has extracted also the $g^4 \ln g$ piece. However, the normalization of the logarithm in the last term requires knowledge of the 3-loop contribution which is still lacking.

Returning to QED, let us introduce our notation and conventions. We employ the imaginary time formalism whereby the energies take on discrete Matsubara values, $q_0 = in\pi T$, *n* being an even (odd) integer for bosons (fermions). Dimensional regularization is used to handle the ultraviolet (UV) and infrared (IR) singularities which occur at intermediate steps. The *D* dimensional vector, $Q_{\mu} = (q_0, \vec{q})$, is contracted with a Minkowski metric, $Q^2 = q_0^2 - \vec{q}^2$. In order to keep track of the even

(odd) Matsubara frequencies, we introduce the following notation:

$$\int [dq] \equiv T \sum_{q_0, \text{even}} \int \frac{d^{D-1}q}{(2\pi)^{D-1}},$$
$$\int \{dq\} \equiv T \sum_{q_0, \text{odd}} \int \frac{d^{D-1}q}{(2\pi)^{D-1}}.$$

The fermions are kept as four-component objects, $Tr(\gamma_{\mu}\gamma_{\nu}) = 4g_{\mu\nu}$, and for simplicity we work in the Feynman gauge so that the gauge propagator is $g_{\mu\nu}/K^2$. Renormalization via minimal subtraction ensures that the coupling constant is gauge-fixing independent, and hence so will then be our final answer for the pressure [3].

Before discussing the 3-loop calculation, let us summarize the lower order results for the pressure of QED with N massless Dirac fermions:

$$P = P_0 + P_2 + P_3 + O(e^4) .$$
 (1)

where

$$P_0 = \frac{\pi^2}{45} T^4 \left(1 + \frac{7}{4} N \right), \qquad (2)$$

$$P_2 = -\frac{5e^2T^4N}{288} \,. \tag{3}$$

$$P_{3} = \frac{e^{3}T^{4}}{12\pi} \left(\frac{N}{3}\right)^{3/2}$$
(4)

The ideal gas contribution P_0 is determined by the oneloop diagrams in Fig. 1. The first correction, P_2 , is given by Fig. 2. The nonanalytic $(e^2)^{3/2}$ contribution, P_3 , is a consequence of Debye screening [9]. In a perturbative expansion using bare propagators one discovers powerlike IR singularities in diagrams such as Fig. 3, corresponding to the n = 0 Matsubara frequency of the



FIG. 1. Contribution to the ideal gas pressure. The wavy line represents the photon propagator.

© 1994 The American Physical Society



FIG. 2. The two-loop diagram.

photon propagator. The diagrams in Fig. 3 are singular because the electric polarization operator behaves as $\Pi_{00}(q_0, q \rightarrow 0) = m^2$, where *m* is the electric screening mass to lowest order. Summing the infrared divergent pieces of the diagrams in Fig. 3 yields

$$P_3 = \frac{T}{2} \sum_{p=2}^{\infty} \int \frac{d^3q}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{(-1)^p}{p} \left(\frac{m^2}{q^2}\right)^p$$
(5)

$$= -\frac{T}{2} \int \frac{d^3q}{(2\pi)^3} \left[\ln \left(1 + \frac{m^2}{q^2} \right) - \frac{m^2}{q^2} \right].$$
 (6)

Although (6) is UV and IR finite and may be evaluated directly to give (4), it is helpful to reconsider it using dimensional continuation. Then [10] the second term in (6) vanishes and the first term gives

$$\frac{T}{2} \Gamma\left(\frac{1-D}{2}\right) \left(\frac{m^2}{4\pi}\right)^{(D-1)/2}$$

which is P_3 as $D \rightarrow 4$. Note that in dimensional regularization (DR) each single integral of the series in (5) vanishes. Nevertheless, the result in (6) is physically correct and mathematically nonzero, because the sum in (5) must be done *before* the integral. This is obvious once one starts from an expansion of the pressure in terms of the full propagator [1-3]. Though the infinite sum must



FIG. 3. Diagrams contributing to the e^3 plasmon term. The self-energy insertions are $\Pi_{00}(0,0)$, and the photon is static, $q_0 = 0$.

be performed first, we may separate out any *finite* number of terms from the sum, and for these we may use DR to deduce a zero contribution. This explains why the second term in (6) may be dropped in DR, and we will exploit this fact further below.

Consider now the 3-loop diagrams. The order e^4N diagrams are shown in Figs. 4 and 5. However, for massless fermions, the Ward identity $Z_1 = Z_2$ implies the mutual cancellation of the counterterm diagrams. Thus the sum $G_1 + G_2$ (Fig. 4) is UV finite. After performing the spinor traces, some algebraic manipulation, and the use of scaling arguments such as in [11], we obtain

$$\frac{G_1 + G_2}{e^4 N T^{3D-8} \mu^{8-2D}} = \frac{D-2}{6} [2(1-2^{11-3D})H_1 + (20-3D)H_2] + (D-2)^2 [2H_3 - f_2(f_1 - b_1)^2].$$

Here μ is the mass parameter of DR and *e* is the dimensionless, renormalized coupling. We have scaled all the momenta by 1/T so that the integrals are dimensionless (i.e., T = 1 there) and are defined by



FIG. 4. The order e^4N contributions: G_1 and G_2 .

$$H_{2} = \int \frac{\{dK \ dR \ dS\}}{K^{2}R^{2}S^{2}(K + R + S)^{2}},$$

$$H_{3} = \int \frac{\{dK\} \left[dQ \ dP\right] (P \cdot Q)}{K^{2}P^{2}Q^{2}(K + Q)^{2}(K + P)^{2}}.$$
 (7)

The integral H_1 occurs in the 3-loop evaluation of the pressure in ϕ^4 theory [12], and H_2 , being simply the fermionic analog of H_1 , may be analyzed in a similar manner. The only new integral left is H_3 . Let us, however, first discuss the order e^4N^2 diagrams. The UV singularity of G_3 [Fig. 6(a)] is canceled by the photon wave function renormalization through diagram X_1 [Fig. 6(b)]. Diagram G_3 also has an IR singularity which is precisely the first term of the series in Eq. (5). Since this term has already been considered there, it should be subtracted from G_3 to avoid overcounting. However, as discussed earlier, this single term by itself vanishes in DR, so double counting is automatically avoided. We have

$$G_{3} = \frac{e^{4}N^{2}}{4}T^{3D-8}\mu^{8-2D}16$$

$$\times \left[(D-4)b_{2}f_{1}^{2} + \frac{D-4}{4}H_{2} + 4H_{4} \right] (8)$$

and

$$X_{1} = -(Z_{3} - 1) e^{2} N (D - 2) T^{3D-8} \mu^{8-2D} f_{1}$$

$$\times (2b_{1} - f_{1}) \left(\frac{T}{\mu}\right)^{4-D}.$$

where

$$Z_3 - 1 = \frac{e^2 N}{6\pi^2 (D - 4)}$$

to leading order. The factor $(T/\mu)^{4-D}$ will generate a $e^4 \ln T/\mu$ term on expansion, but this will be reabsorbed



FIG. 5. Ultraviolet counterterm diagrams for Fig. 4.

later in the definition of the temperature dependent coupling e(T). The new integral is (8) is

$$H_{4} = \int \frac{[dQ] \{dK \, dR\} (K \cdot R)^{2}}{Q^{4} K^{2} R^{2} (Q + K)^{2} (Q + R)^{2}}$$

We now sketch our evaluation of H_3 (7). The frequency sums are first rewritten in terms of contour integrals as in [2,3], but we do not separate the T = 0 and $T \neq 0$ parts before going to the "phase-space" representation. In this way we obtain

$$H_3 = J_1 + K_1 + L_1.$$

The piece L_1 contains integrals which can be performed analytically while K_1 is an integral similar to H_1 . The difficulty lies in

$$J_{1} \equiv \int \frac{d^{D}K d^{D}Q d^{D}P}{(2\pi)^{3(D-1)}} \,\delta_{+} (K^{2}) \,\delta_{+} (P^{2}) \,\delta_{+} (Q^{2}) \,N_{k_{0}} n_{q_{0}} \\ \times (N_{p_{0}} + n_{p_{0}}) \sum_{\sigma, \gamma = \pm 1} (-\sigma) \,S(\sigma, \gamma) \,.$$

with

$$S(\sigma,\gamma) \equiv \frac{P \cdot Q}{K \cdot Q} \frac{1}{K \cdot Q + P \cdot (\sigma K + \gamma Q)}$$

and

$$N_{k_0} = \frac{1}{e^{k_0} + 1} \, .$$

The integral J_1 is UV finite but has a collinear singularity. We use the method of Sudakov decomposition, a technique which is well known at T = 0 (see, for example, [13]) but appears to be novel in this context, to extract the pole and the finite part of this integral. The details are lengthy and will be presented elsewhere [14]. Here we only state the final result,



FIG. 6. (a) is the e^4N^2 contribution (G₃), while (b) is the corresponding counterterm diagram X_1 .

where

$$r_1 = -0.716\ 766\ 7897\ \pm\ 10^{-10},$$

 $c_1 = (3.936 \pm 5) \times 10^{-3}$.

The residue r_1 is obtained as a finite two-dimensional integral which we have then evaluated numerically to high precision (relative error 10^{-10}), and the pole in J_1 above cancels with the other poles contributing to the sum of $G_1 + G_2$ (again to the same precision). For H_4 , the analysis is similar to H_3 but more tedious because of the doubled propagator $1/(Q^2)^2$.

Collecting all the pieces, we obtain

$$\frac{P_4}{e^4 T^4} = \frac{G_1 + G_2 + G_3 + X_1}{e^4 T^4}$$
$$= \frac{N}{\pi^6} (0.4056) - N^2$$
$$\times \left(\frac{0.4667}{\pi^6} + \frac{5}{6\pi^2 \times 288} \ln \frac{T}{\mu}\right). \quad (9)$$

The pressure up to and including order e^4 then follows from Eqs. (1)– (4) and (9). It may be rewritten in terms of the (one-loop) renormalization group invariant coupling, at the energy scale *T*, given by

$$e^{2}(T) = e^{2}\left(1 + \frac{e^{2}N}{6\pi^{2}}\ln\frac{T}{\mu}\right).$$

Defining $\alpha(T) = e^2(T)/4\pi$ we finally arrive at

$$\frac{P}{T^4} = \frac{\pi^2}{45} \left(1 + \frac{7}{4} N \right) - \frac{5\pi^2}{72} \frac{\alpha(T)N}{\pi} + \frac{2\pi^2}{9\sqrt{3}} \\ \times \left[\frac{\alpha(T)N}{\pi} \right]^{3/2} + \left(\frac{0.658 \pm 0.006}{N} - 0.757 \pm 0.004 \right) \\ \times \left[\frac{\alpha(T)N}{\pi} \right]^2 + O(\alpha(T)^{5/2}).$$
(10)

This (10) is our expression for the three-loop pressure of QED with N electron flavors at high temperature; if m_e is the (zero temperature) electron mass, we require $m_e/T \ll \alpha (T)^2$ so that mass corrections are subleading to the terms displayed in (10). Real world QED corresponds to N = 1 and in the regime where $\alpha (T) \ll 1$, the three-loop contribution is found to be indeed a small correction. However, one should note that since perturbative QED is not asymptotically free, the effective coupling $\alpha (T)$ increases with temperature (albeit slowly) so that at sufficiently high temperatures the fourth order contribution becomes relevant. Deferring further discussion and potential applications of (10) to a later stage [14], we mention that the unknown e^5 contribution in Eq. (10) is a higher order analog of the e^3 plasmon term and is also calculable [15].

We conclude by summarizing the steps leading from the Feynman diagrams to the result (10): (i) algebraic reduction of the integrals, (ii) evaluation of frequency sums by a contour-integral algorithm, (iii) evaluation of the final phase-space-like integrals, in particular using the method of Sudakov variables for UV finite integrals with a collinear singularity, and (iv) use of dimensional regularization to simplify the prevention of double counting during resummation. We hope that the methodology adopted here opens the way for a similar, and long awaited, calculation in QCD.

We thank J. P. Blaizot, H. Contopanagos, L. D. McLerran, J-Y. Ollitrault, D. K. Sinclair, A. R. White, and C. Zachos for discussions. We also thank P. Arnold and C. Zhai for pointing out errors in our original results and for communicating with us their calculation of the three-loop free energy of Yang-Mills theory [16].

*Electronic address: coriano@hep.anl.gov [†]Electronic address: parwani@wasa.saclay.cea.fr

- [1] I. A. Akhiezer and S. V. Peletminskii, Sov. Phys. JETP 11, 1316 (1960).
- [2] B.A. Freedman and L.D. McLerran, Phys. Rev. D 16, 1130 (1977); 16, 1147 (1977); 16, 1169 (1977).
- [3] V. Baluni, Phys. Rev. D 17, 2092 (1978).
- [4] A. Heckler, Phys. Rev. D 49, 611 (1994), and references therein.
- [5] J.C. Collins and M.J. Perry, Phys. Rev. Lett. 34, 1353 (1975).
- [6] See, for example, in *Hot Summer Daze*, Proceedings of the Brookhaven National Laboratory Summer Study on QCD at Nonzero Temperature and Density, Upton, New York, 1991, edited by A. Gocksch and R. Pisarski (World Scientific, Singapore, 1992).
- [7] J. I. Kapusta, Nucl. Phys. B148, 461 (1979).
- [8] T. Toimela, Phys. Lett. 124B, 407 (1983).
- [9] D. Bohm and D. Pines, Phys. Rev. 92, 609 (1953); M. Gell-Mann and K. A. Brueckner, Phys. Rev. 106, 364 (1957).
- [10] R. Parwani, Phys. Rev. D 48, 3852 (1993).
- [11] P. Arnold and O. Espinoza, Phys. Rev. D 47, 3546 (1993).
- [12] J. Frenkel, A. V. Saa, and J. C. Taylor, Phys. Rev. D 46, 3670 (1992).
- [13] G. Sterman, An Introduction to Quantum Field Theory (Cambridge University, Cambridge, 1993); Yu. L. Dokshitzer, D. I. Dyakonov, and S. I. Troyan, Phys. Rep. 58, 270 (1980).
- [14] R. Parwani and C. Corianò (to be published).
- [15] R. Parwani, Report No. SPhT/94-065 (to be published).
- [16] P. Arnold and C. Zhai, Seattle Report No. UW/PT-94-03 (to be published).