Nuclear Physics School "Raimondo Anni", 5th course Otranto, May 30 – June 4, 20<u>11</u>

The Physics of Neutron Star Interiors

Ignazio Bombaci Dipartimento di Fisica "E. Fermi", Università di Pisa

Plan of the lectures

- **1. The Pulsars**
- 2. Neutron Stars' Structure
- 3. Quark Matter in neutron stars: astrophysical implications and possible signatures *Bibliography*
- P. Haensel, A.Y. Potekhin, and D.G. Yakovlev, Neutron Stars 1: equation of state and structure , Springer 2007
- S.L. Shapiro and S.A. Teukolsky, Black Holes, White Dwarfs, and Neutron Stars, Wiley & Sons 1983
- N.K. Glendenning, Compact Stars, Springer 1996
- I. Bombaci, Neutron Stars' structure and nuclear equation of state, chap. 8 in Nuclear methods and the nuclear equation of state, ed. M. Baldo, World Scientific 1999.

Nuclear Physics School "Raimondo Anni", 5th course Otranto, May 30 – June 4, 2011

The Physics of Neutron Star Interiors

1st Lecture

Pulsars

1st Lecture: Pulsars (PSRs)



The basic observational properties of PSRs



Pulsars as magnetized rotating Neutron Stars The magnetic dipole model for PSRs **Pulsars (PSRs)** are astrophysical sources which emit periodic pulses of electromagnetic radiation.

Number of known pulsars:

- ~ 1900 Radio PSRs
- ~40 X-ray PSRs (radio-quiet)
- ~ 60 γ-ray PSR (most recent. discov. by LAT/Fermi)

1st discovered pulsar:PSR B1919 +21radio pulsar at 81.5 MHzPulse periodP = 1.337 sHewish et al., 1968, Nature 217

AU SYMPOSIUM 'PULSARS' lanck - Ins dioastrono

Tony Hewish and Jocelyn Bell (Bonn, August 1980)



Pulse shape at different wavelength





Top: 100 single pulses from the pulsar B0950+08 (P = 0.253 s), demonstrating the pulse-to-pulse variability in shape and intensity.

Bottom: Cumulative profile for this pulsar over 5 minutes (about 1200 pulses).

This averaged **"standard profile"** is reproducible for a given pulsar at a given frequency.

The large noise which masks the "true" pulse shape is due to the interaction of the pulsar elettromagnetic radiation with the ionized interstellar medium (ISM)

Observations taken with the Green Bank Telescope (Stairs et al. 2003)

The Arecibo Radio Telescope

d = 304.8 m

The Parkes Radio Telescope

$\mathbf{d} = \mathbf{64} \mathbf{m}$

The Green Bank Radio Telescope

d = 100 m

AD CONSTR

Pulsar Period Distribution ~ 10⁻³ seconds < P < a few seconds



Data from ATNF Pulsar Catalogue, V1.25

The "fastest" Pulsar"

PSR J1748 – 2446ad (in the globular cluster Terzan 5)

P = 1.39595482(6) ms *i.e.* v = 716.3 Hz Fa# (F#)

J.W.T. Hessel et al., march 2006, Science 311, 1901

PSR mame	frequncy (Hz)	Period (ms)
J1748 –2464ad	716.358	1.3959
B1937 +21	641.931	1.5578
B1957 +20	622.123	1.6074
J1748 –24460	596.435	1.6766

PSRs are remarkable astronomical clocks extraordinary stability of the pulse period: P(sec.) can be measured up to 18 significant digits! e.g. on Jan 16, 1999, PSR J0437-4715 had a period of: 5.757451831072007 ± 0.00000000000008 ms

Pulsar periods always (*) increase very slowly

$$\mathbf{\hat{P}} \equiv d\mathbf{P}/dt = 10^{-21} - 10^{-10} \text{ s/s} = 10^{-14} - 10^{-3} \text{ s/yr}$$

(*) except in the case of PSR "**glitches**", or **spin-up** due to **mass accretion**





I. Bombaci, The physics of neutron star interiors, School "R. Anni", Otranto 2011

What is the nature of pulsars?

Due to the extraordinary stability of the pulse period the different parts of the source must be connected by **causality condition**

 $R_{source} \le c P \sim 9900 \text{ km}$ ($P_{crab} = 0.033 \text{ s}$)



A famous whithe dwarf, Sirius B:

 $R = 0.0074 R_{\odot} = 5150 \text{ km}$

Due to the extraordinary stability of the pulse period the different parts of the source must be connected by **causality condition**



A famous whithe dwarf, **Sirius B**:

 $R = 0.0074 R_{\odot} = 5150 km$

Pulsars as rotating white dwarfs

Mass-shed limit.

For a particle at the equator of homogeneus uniformely rotating sphere

$$G\frac{M}{R^2} = \Omega_{\lim}^2 R$$

$$\Omega \le \Omega_{\lim} = \sqrt{G\frac{M}{R^3}} = \sqrt{\frac{4\pi}{3}}G\rho_{av}$$

 $P \ge P_{lim} = 2\pi/\Omega_{lim} \sim 6 \ s \ (\rho_{av} \sim 3.4 \times 10^{6} \text{ g/cm}^3, \text{ Sirius B})$

Pulsars can not be rotating white dwarfs

Earth: $P_{lim} = 84$ min. Neutron Star (M = 1.4 M_{\odot}, R = 10 km): $P_{lim} \sim 0.5$ ms

Pulsars as vibrating white dwarfs

WD models $\Rightarrow P \ge P_{lim} \sim 2 \text{ s}$

In the case of **damped oscillations**:

- Decreasing oscillation amplitude
- Constant period (dP/dt = 0)

For **PSRs** dP/dt > 0

Pulsars can not be vibrating white dwarfs

Pulsars as rotating Neutron Stars

The Neutron Star idea: (Baade and Zwicky, 1934)

"With all reserve we advance the view that supernovae represent the transition from ordinary stars into neutron stars, which in their final stages consist of extremely closely packed neutrons."

1st calculation of Neutron Star properties: (Oppenheimer and Volkov, 1939)

Discovery of Pulsars (Hewish et al. 1967)

Interpretation of PSRs as rotating Neutron Strar: (Pacini, 1967, Nature 216), (Gold, 1968, Nature 218)

I. Bombaci, The physics of neutron star interiors, School "R. Anni", Otranto 2011

The "fastest" Pulsar"

PSR J1748 – 2446ad (in the globular cluster Terzan 5)

P = 1.39595482(6) ms *i.e.* v = 716.3 Hz Fa# (F#)

J.W.T. Hessel et al., march 2006, Science 311, 1901

PSR mame	frequncy (Hz)	Period (ms)
J1748 –2464ad	716.358	1.3959
B1937 +21	641.931	1.5578
B1957 +20	622.123	1.6074
J1748 –24460	596.435	1.6766

Terrestial fast spinning bodies

Centrifuge of a modern washing machine.

 $\Omega \cong 1,800 \text{ round/min} = 30 \text{ round/s}$

P = 0.0333 s

Engine Ferrari F2004 (F1 world champion 2004)

 $\Omega \cong 19,000 \text{ round/min} = 316.67 \text{ round/s}$

P = 3.158 ms

Ultracentrifuge (Optima L-100 XP, Beckman-Coulter)

 $\Omega \cong 100,000 \text{ round/min} = 1666.67 \text{ round/s}$

P = **0.6** ms

I. Bombaci, The physics of neutron star interiors, School "R. Anni", Otranto 2011

The birth of a Neutron Star



Neutron
StarNeutron stars are the compact remnants of
type II Supernova explosions, which occur
at the end of the evolution of massive stars
 $(8 < M/M_{\odot} < 25).$

I. Bombaci, The physics of neutron star interiors, School "R. Anni", Otranto 2011



Supernova Classification



"Historical" Supernovae

Table 1 Supernovae that have exploded in our Galaxy and the Large Magellanic Cloud within the last millennium

Supernova	Year (AD)	Distance (kpc)	Peak visual magnitude
SN1006	1006	2.0	-9.0
Crab	1054	2.2	-4.0
SN1181	1181	8.0	?
RX J0852-4642	~1300	~0.2	?
Tycho	1572	7.0	-4.0
Kepler	1604	10.0	-3.0
Cas A	~1680	3.4	~6.0?
SN1987A	1987	50 ± 5	3.0

New stars (guest stars) in the sky were considered by acient people as a possible signal for inauspicious events.

Aristotele – Ptolomy vision of the World Supra-Lunar world: perfect, incorruptible, immutable. *new stars* interpreted as Sub-Lunar world events

Tyco Brahe observed a *new stars* in the **Cassiopea constellation** in 1572 and using his **observational data** demonstrated that **the star was much farther that the Moon** (**T. Brahe**, *De nova et nullius aevi memoria prius visa stella*, 1573)

Tycho's Supernova Remnant



X-ray image (Chandra satellite, sept. 2005)



Supernova observed by Tycho Brahe in 1572

No central point source has been so far detected.: **Type Ia supernova**

Kepler's supernova Remnant, SN1604



Supernova type: unclear

The Crab Nebula



Optical (left) and X-ray (right) image of the Crab Nebula.

The Crab Nebula is the remnant of a supernova explosion that was seen on Earth in 1054 AD. Its distance to the Earth is 6000 lyr. At the center of the nebula is a pulsar which emits pulses of radiation with a period P = 0.033 seconds.



Multi wave lenght image of the Crab: Blue: X-ray Red: optical Green: radio









 $\vec{\mu} = \mu \sin\alpha\cos\varphi \ \vec{e}_x + \mu \sin\alpha\sin\varphi \ \vec{e}_y + \mu \ \cos\alpha \ \vec{e}_z$

Next one calculates
$$\vec{\mu} = \frac{d}{dt}\vec{\mu}$$
 and $\vec{\mu}$



For a **sphere** with a **pure magnetic dipole field:**

$$\mu = (1/2) B_p R^3$$

 $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{p}}$ = magnetic fiels at the poles, \mathbf{R} = radius of the sphere

The magnetic dipole model for pulsars

$$\dot{E}_{mag} = -\frac{1}{6c^3} R^6 B_p^2 \sin^2 \alpha \ \Omega^4$$
Rotational
kinetic
energy $E_{rot} = \frac{1}{2} I \Omega^2 \xrightarrow{i=0} E_{rot} = I \Omega \Omega$
Energy rate balance:
 $\dot{E}_{rot} = \dot{E}_{mag}$

$$\dot{P} P = (2\pi)^2 K$$

$$K = \frac{1}{6c^3} \frac{R^6}{I} (B_p \sin \alpha)^2$$

Distribution of PSRs on the P – P plane



$$B_{\perp} = \frac{\sqrt{6c^{3}}}{2\pi} \frac{I^{1/2}}{R^{3}} \left(PP\right)^{1/2} =$$

= 3.2×10¹⁹ $\left(PP\right)^{1/2}$ Gauss

- $B_{\perp} = B_p \sin \alpha$ $R = 10 \ km$
- $I = 10^{45} g \ cm^2$

log(P[sec.])

Distribution of PSRs on the P – P plane



log(P[sec.])

The PSR evolution differential equation can be rewritten as:



$$P^{n-2} \stackrel{\bullet}{P} = (2\pi)^{n-1} K$$

Differentiating this equation, with $\mathbf{K} = const$, one obtains:



$$n = 3$$
 within the magnetic dipole model

The three quantities \mathbf{P} , \mathbf{P} and \mathbf{P} have been measured for a few PSRs.

Measured value of the braking index n

PSR name	n	P (s)	P_{dot} (10 ⁻¹⁵ s/s)	Dipole age (yr)
PSR B0531+21 (Crab)	$\boldsymbol{2.515 \pm 0.005}$	0.03308	422.765	1238
PSR B0833-45 (Vela)	1.4 ± 0.2	0.08933	125.008	11000
PRS B1509-58	$\boldsymbol{2.839 \pm 0.005}$	0.1506	1536.5	1554
PSR B0540-69	2.01 ± 0.02	0.0505	478.924	1672
PSR J1119-6127	2.91 ± 0.05	0.40077	4021.782	1580

The **deviation of the breaking index from 3** could probably be due (*i*) to **torque** on the pulsar **from outflow of particles**; (*ii*), **Change with time of the "constant"** *K*, *i.e. I*(*t*), or/and **B**(**t**) or/and α (**t**)

Solutions of the PSR time evolution differential equation

$$\Omega(t) = \Omega_0 \left[(n-1) K \Omega_0^{n-1} t + 1 \right]^{-1/(n-1)}$$

$$P(t) = P_0 \left[(n-1)K\Omega_0^{n-1} t + 1 \right]^{1/(n-1)}$$

$$n = 3$$

$$\Omega(t) = \Omega_0 \left[2K\Omega_0^2 t + 1 \right]^{-1/2}$$

$$P(t) = P_0 \left[2K\Omega_0^2 t + 1 \right]^{1/2}$$

 $\mathbf{t}_0 = \mathbf{0}$ (NS birth), $\mathbf{P}_0 = \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{t}_0)$, $\mathbf{\Omega}_0 = \mathbf{\Omega}(\mathbf{t}_0)$; $\mathbf{K} = const$

gives the pulsar dipole age

The Pulsar age

The solution of the PSR differential equation can be rewritten as:

$$t = -\frac{1}{n-1} \frac{\Omega(t)}{\dot{\Omega}(t)} \left[1 - \left(\frac{\Omega(t)}{\Omega_0}\right)^{n-1} \right] \qquad (*)$$

$$\tau, \quad \mathbf{t} = \tau - \{(\mathbf{n}-1) \ \mathbf{K} \ \Omega_0^{\mathbf{n}-1} \}^{-1} \quad \text{``true'' pulsar age}$$
$$\mathcal{T} = -\frac{1}{n-1} \frac{\Omega}{\Omega} = \frac{1}{n-1} \frac{P}{P} \quad n=3 \quad \mathbf{T} = \frac{1}{2} \quad \mathbf{T} = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \quad \mathbf{T} = \frac{1}{2} \quad \mathbf{T} = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \quad \mathbf{T} = \frac{1}{2} \quad \mathbf{T} =$$

 $(t \equiv present time)$

This determination of the PRS age is valid under the assumption $\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{const}$.

Example: the age of the Crab Pulsar

SN explosion: 1054 AD P = 0.0330847 s, $P = 4.22765 \times 10^{-13}$ s/s braking index: $n = 2.515 \pm 0.005$



$$t_{crab} = (2011 - 1054) \text{ yr} = 957 \text{ yr}, \quad \tau = 1238 \text{ yr} \text{ (dipole age)}$$

Assuming the validity of the PSR dipole model, using the previous equation (*) for the pulsar true age, we can infer the initial spin period of the Crab

$$P_0 = P (1 - t_{crab} / \tau)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$\cong 0.016 \text{ s}$$

But $n_{crab} \neq 3$

Pulsar evolutionary path on the P–P plane

$$\stackrel{\bullet}{PP} = (2\pi)^2 K$$

$$K \equiv \frac{1}{6c^3} \frac{R^6}{I} (B_p \sin \alpha)^2$$

Taking the logarithm of this equation we get:

$$\log P = \log \left[\frac{(2\pi)^2 R^6}{6c^3 I} B_p^2 \sin^2 \alpha \right] - \log P$$

$$\mathcal{T} = P/(2\dot{P})$$

$$\log P = \log P - \log(2\tau)$$
(for the second state of the s

Pulsar evolutionary path on the P-P plane



log(**P**[sec.])

Pulsar evolutionary path on the P-P plane



 $\begin{array}{ll} \mbox{millisecons PSRs} & \mbox{have dipole ages} \\ \mbox{in the range} & 10^8 - 10^{10} \, \mbox{yr} & \mbox{thus} \\ \mbox{they are very old pulsars.} \end{array}$

What is the **origin** of millisecond pulsars?

Millisecond pulsar are believed to result from the spin-up of a "slow" rotating neutron star through mass accretion (and angular momentum transfer) from a companion star in a binary stellar system

log(P[sec.])

The PSR/NS magnetic field

Based on the magnetic dipole model for PSRs: $B \sim 10^{14}-10^{15} \text{ G}$ "Magnetars" $B \sim 10^{12} \text{ G}$ "normal" PSR, $B \sim 10^8-10^9 \text{ G}$ millisecond PSR

Key questions

- **1.** Where does the PSR/NS magnetic field come from?
- 2. Is the magnetic field constant in time? Or, does it decay?

If **B** decays in time what are the implications for the determination of the pulsar age and braking index ?

Where does the NS magnetic field come from?

There is as yet no satisfacory theory for the generation of the magnetic field in a Neutron Star.

Traditional *answer*: "It is as it is, because it was as it was"

Fossil remnant magnetic field from the progenitor star:

Assuming magnetic flux conservation during the birth of the neutron star

 $\Phi(\mathbf{B}) \sim \mathbf{B} \mathbf{R}^2 = \text{const.}$

Progenitor star: $R_* \sim 10^6 \text{ km}$, $B_* \sim 10^2 \text{ G}$ $B_{NS} \sim (R_*/R_{NS})^2 B_* \sim 10^{12} \text{ G}$

Earth (at the magnetic poles): B = 0.6 G, Refrigerator magnet: $B \sim 100 G$

Where does the NS magnetic field come from?

■ The field could be generated after the formation of the NS by some long living electric currents flowing in the highly conductive neutron star material.

Spontaneus "ferromagnetic" transition in the neutron star core

Does the nuclear interaction leads to a spontaneus ferromagnetic transition in nuclear matter at some density and some isospin asymmetry?

Spin-unpolarized isospin-asymmetric MN



Spin polarization
$$S_n = (\rho_{n\uparrow} - \rho_{n\downarrow})/\rho_n$$
, $S_p = (\rho_{p\uparrow} - \rho_{p\downarrow})/\rho_p$
Isospin asymmetry $\beta = (\rho_n - \rho_p)/\rho$
 $\rho_{n\uparrow} = \frac{1 + S_n}{2} \frac{1 + \beta}{2} \rho$ $\rho_{p\uparrow} = \frac{1 + S_p}{2} \frac{1 - \beta}{2} \rho$
 $\rho_{n\downarrow} = \frac{1 - S_n}{2} \frac{1 + \beta}{2} \rho$ $\rho_{p\downarrow} = \frac{1 - S_p}{2} \frac{1 - \beta}{2} \rho$

Spin-polarized isospin-asymmetric MN



Spin polarization $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{n}} = (\rho_{\mathbf{n\uparrow}} - \rho_{\mathbf{n\downarrow}})/\rho_{\mathbf{n}}$, $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{p}} = (\rho_{\mathbf{p\uparrow}} - \rho_{\mathbf{p\downarrow}})/\rho_{\mathbf{p}}$ Isospin asymmetry $\beta = (\rho_{\mathbf{n}} - \rho_{\mathbf{p}})/\rho$ $\rho_{n\uparrow} = \frac{1+S_n}{2}\frac{1+\beta}{2}\rho$, $\rho_{p\uparrow} = \frac{1+S_p}{2}\frac{1-\beta}{2}\rho$ $\rho_{n\downarrow} = \frac{1-S_n}{2}\frac{1+\beta}{2}\rho$, $\rho_{p\downarrow} = \frac{1-S_p}{2}\frac{1-\beta}{2}\rho$

Brueckner–Bethe–Goldstone Theory

Bethe - Goldstone equation

$$\langle a; b | G(\omega) | c; d \rangle = \langle a; b | v | c; d \rangle +$$

$$\sum_{i,j} \langle a; b | v | i; j \rangle \frac{Q_{\tau_i \sigma_i \tau_j \sigma_j}}{\omega - e_{\tau_i \sigma_i} - e_{\tau_j \sigma_j}} \langle i; j | G(\omega) | c; d \rangle$$

$$|a;b\rangle = |a\rangle \otimes |b\rangle$$
$$|a\rangle = |\vec{k}_a, \tau_a, \sigma_a\rangle$$

$$\tau_a = n, p$$

 $\sigma_a = \uparrow, \checkmark$

3rd isospin component3rd spin component

 $Q_{\tau_i \sigma_i \tau_j \sigma_j}$ Pauli operator

Single particle energy: BHF approximation

$$e_{\tau\sigma}(k) = \frac{\hbar^2 k^2}{2m_{\tau}} + U_{\tau\sigma}(k)$$
$$U_{\tau\sigma}(k) = \sum_{\tau'} \sum_{\sigma'} U_{\tau\sigma\tau'\sigma'}(k) =$$
$$= \sum_{\tau'} \sum_{\sigma'} \sum_{k' \le k_F^{\tau'\sigma'}} \langle \vec{k} \tau \sigma; \vec{k}' \tau' \sigma' | G(e_{\tau\sigma} + e_{\tau'\sigma'}) | \vec{k} \tau \sigma; \vec{k}' \tau' \sigma' \rangle_{\mathcal{A}}$$

Total energy per particle energy: BHF approximation

$$\frac{E}{A} = \frac{1}{A} \sum_{\tau} \sum_{\sigma} \sum_{k \le k_F^{\tau\sigma}} \frac{\hbar^2 k^2}{2m_{\tau}} + \frac{1}{2A} \sum_{\tau} \sum_{\sigma} \sum_{k \le k_F^{\tau\sigma}} U_{\tau\sigma}(k)$$

Total energy per particle

Nijmegen NSC97e interaction



I. Bombaci, I. Vidaña, Phys. Rev. C66 (2002) 045801

Kinetic and potential energy contributions to E/A

Nijmegen NSC97e interaction



I. Bombaci, I. Vidaña, Phys. Rev. C66 (2002) 045801

Magnetic susceptibility: pure Neutron Matter

The magnetic susceptibility of a system characterize the response of the system to an external magnetic field ${\cal H}$

 $\chi = \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{M}}{\partial \mathcal{H}}\right)_{\mathcal{H}}$

 \mathcal{M} is the magnetization per uinit volume of the system (i.e. the magnetic moment per unit volume of the material)

 $\mathcal{M} = \mu_n(\rho_{n\uparrow} - \rho_{n\downarrow})$ $=\mu_n \rho S_n$

 $\chi = \frac{\mu_n^2 \rho}{\left(\frac{\partial^2 (E/N)}{\partial S_n^2}\right)_{G=0}}$

 $\mu_n = -1.913 \ \mu_N =$ neutron magnetic dipole moment

Pauli magnetic susceptibility: free Fermi gas

 $\chi_{Pauli} = \frac{m\mu_n^2}{\hbar^2 \pi^2} k_F$



Magnetic susceptibility: asymmetric Nucl. Matter

$$\frac{1}{\chi} = \begin{pmatrix} 1/& 1/\\ \chi_{nn} & /\chi_{np} \\ 1/& 1/\\ \chi_{pn} & /\chi_{pp} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\frac{1}{\chi_{ij}} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}_i}{\partial \mathcal{M}_j}$$

i, j = n, p

 M_{j} is the magnetization per unit volume of the component j (*i.e.* neutrons or protons)

$$\mathcal{M}_{j} = \mu_{j}(\rho_{j\uparrow} - \rho_{j\downarrow})$$
$$= \mu_{j} \rho S_{j}$$

 μ_j magnetic dipole moment: $\mu_n = -1.9130 \ \mu_N$, $\mu_p = 2.7928 \ \mu_N$

$$\frac{1}{\chi_{ij}} = \frac{\rho}{\mu_i \rho_i \mu_j \rho_j} \frac{\partial^2 (E/A)}{\partial S_i \partial_j S}$$

Magnetic susceptibility: asymmetric NM

Nijmegen NSC97e interaction



I. Bombaci, I. Vidaña, Phys. Rev. C66 (2002) 045801

Magnetic susceptibility: asymmetric NM

Miscroscopic calculations show no indication of a ferromagnetic transition at any density and for any isospin asymmetry in nuclear matter

Magnetic field decay in Neutron Stars

There are strong theoretical and observational arguments which indicate a decay of the neutron star magnetic field. (Ostriker and Gunn, 1969)



$$\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{t}) = \mathbf{B}_{\infty} + [\mathbf{B}_0 - \mathbf{B}_{\infty}] \exp(-\mathbf{t} / \tau_{\mathbf{B}})$$

 B_{∞} = residual magn. field

 $\tau_{\rm B} \sim 1 - 10 \; {\rm Myr}$

B-field decay

Decrease with time of the magnetic braking

 $PP = (2\pi)^2 K(t)$

 $K(t) \equiv \frac{1}{6c^3} \frac{R^0}{I} (B_p(t)\sin\alpha)^2$

 $\mathbf{B}_{\infty} = \mathbf{0}$

 $\mathbf{P}(t) = \mathbf{P}_0 \{ \tau_{\rm B} \mathbf{K}_0 \ \Omega_0^2 [1 - exp(-2t/\tau_{\rm B})] + 1 \}^{1/2}$





Tauris and Konar, Astron. and Astrophys. 376 (2001)

Gravitational radiation from a Neutron Star

The **lowest-order gravitational radiation is quadrupole.** Thus in order to radiate gravitational energy a **NS** must have a **time-varying quadrupole moment**

Gravitational radiation from a spinning triaxial ellipsoid

x ₃	ŶΩ
c	
a	b x ₂

$$a \neq b \neq c$$

$$I_{1} \neq I_{2} \neq I_{3}$$
 ellipticity: $\mathcal{E} = \frac{a-b}{(a+b)/2}$
If: $\mathcal{E} \ll 1$

$$ellipticity: \mathcal{E} = \frac{a-b}{(a+b)/2}$$

$$\mathbf{E}_{rot} = I_3 \Omega \Omega$$

 $\stackrel{\bullet}{\Omega} = -K_g \ \Omega^5$

braking index for gravitational quadrupole radiation $n \equiv \Omega \Omega / \Omega^2 = 5$

pulsar age

$$\mathcal{T}_{n-1} \equiv -\frac{1}{n-1} \frac{\Omega}{\Omega} = \frac{1}{n-1} \frac{P}{P}$$

$$\mathcal{T}_4 = \mathbf{P}/(4\mathbf{\dot{P}}) = -\Omega/(4\mathbf{\dot{\Omega}})$$

An application to the case of the Crab pulsar

Suppose that the Crab Nebula is powered by the emission of gravitational radiation of a spinning Neutron Star (triaxial ellipsoid).

We want to calculate the deformation (ellipticity ε) of the Neutron Star.

$$\mathbf{L}_{crab} = 5 \times 10^{-38} \text{ erg/s} \qquad \mathbf{P} = 0.033 \text{ s} \qquad \mathbf{\dot{P}} = 4.227 \times 10^{-13} \text{ s/s}$$

$$L_{crab} = \left| \dot{E}_{grav} \right| = \frac{32}{5} (2\pi)^6 \frac{G}{c^5} \frac{I_3^2}{P^6} \varepsilon^2 \equiv A \varepsilon^2 \qquad \text{assuming:} \\ \mathbf{I}_3 = 10^{-45} \text{ g cm}^2 \qquad \mathbf{J} \\ \mathbf{A} = 8.38 \times 10^{-44} \text{ erg/s}$$

$$\mathbf{R} = 10 \text{ km} \qquad \mathbf{a} - b \simeq \varepsilon \text{ R} \simeq 7.7 \text{ m}$$
A rotating neutron star with a 8 meter high mountain at the equator

could power the **Crab nebula** via gravitational wave emission

Is it possible to have a 8 meter high mountain on the surface of a Neutron Star?

Is there a limit to the maximum possible height of a mountain on a planet?

On the Earth: Mons Everest: $h \sim 9 \text{ km}$ (~4 km high from the Tibet plateau) Mauna Kea (Hawaii): $h \sim 10 \text{ km}$ (from the ocean botton to the peak) $R_{\oplus} = 6380 \text{ km}$ (equatorial terrestial radius)

h_{max} will depend on: (*i*) **inter-atomic forces (rock stress, melting point),**

(*ii*) the **planetary gravity acceleration** g

Pressure at the base of the mountain: $P \sim \rho g h < P_{max}$ (ρ =const, g = const)

$g = G M/R^2$, (R=planet's radius)

For a constant density planet (M \propto R³), one has:

$$h_{\max} = \frac{P_{\max}}{\rho} \frac{1}{g} \propto \frac{1}{g} \propto \frac{R^2}{GM} \propto \frac{R^2}{R^3} = \frac{1}{R}$$

Assuming for the **Earth**: $h_{max \oplus} = 10$ km, using the previous eq. we can calculate the maximum height of a mountain in a terrestial-like planet (rocky planet):

$$\mathbf{h}_{\max} = (\mathbf{R}_{\oplus}/\mathbf{R}) \mathbf{h}_{\max \oplus}$$
 ($\mathbf{R}_{\oplus} = 6380 \text{ km}$)

The planet Mars: $R = 3400 \text{ km} = 0.53 \text{ R} \implies h_{\text{max}} = 19 \text{ km}$ mons Olympus h = 25 km Exercise: using this simple argument, estimate the maximum size of a **cubic Earth-like planet**





Pressure at the base of the mountain: $P \sim \rho g h < P_{max}$ (ρ =const, g = const)

$g = G M/R^2$, (R=planet's radius)

For a constant density planet (M \propto R³), one has:

$$h_{\max} = \frac{P_{\max}}{\rho} \frac{1}{g} \propto \frac{1}{g} \propto \frac{R^2}{GM} \propto \frac{R^2}{R^3} = \frac{1}{R}$$

Assuming for the Earth: $h_{max \oplus} = 10 \text{ km}$, using the previous eq.we can calculate the maximum height of a mountain in a terrestial-like planet (rocky planet):

$$\mathbf{h}_{\max} = (\mathbf{R}_{\oplus}/\mathbf{R}) \mathbf{h}_{\max \oplus}$$
 ($\mathbf{R}_{\oplus} = 6380 \text{ km}$)

For a Neutron Star this simple formula can not be used.

More reliable calculations give:

$$h_{max,NS} \sim 1 \text{ cm}$$

Crab pulsar: $n = 2.515 \pm 0.005$

 $t_{crab} = 957 \text{ yr}, \qquad \tau_4 = 619 \text{ yr} \text{ (quadrupole age)}$

Time dependent moment of Inertia

Up to now we supposed that the NS moment of inertia does nor depend on frequency and on time (Ω changes with time as the NS spins down). Suppose now: $I = I(t) = I(\Omega(t))$

Rotational kinetic energy

$$\overset{\bullet}{E}_{rot} = \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{1}{2} I \Omega^2 \right) = I \Omega \overset{\bullet}{\Omega} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{dI}{d\Omega} \overset{\bullet}{\Omega} \Omega^2$$

We can write the energy rate radiated by the star due to some **general braking mechanism** as

•
$$E_{brak} = -C\Omega^{n+1}$$

n braking index

•
$$E_{brak} = E_{rot}$$

Energy balance:

$$\implies \mathbf{\Omega} = -K(t) \left(1 + \frac{I'\Omega}{2I}\right)^{-1} \Omega^n$$

$K(t) \equiv C / I(t)$ $I'(t) \equiv dI / d \Omega$

In the case of a pure magnetic dipole braking mechanism (n = 3), this eq. generalizes to the case of time-dependet moment of inertia, the "standard" magnetic dipol model differential eq.:

$$\stackrel{\bullet}{\Omega} = -K\Omega^3$$

$$K \equiv \frac{1}{6c^3} \frac{R^6}{I} (B_p \sin \alpha)^2$$



$\mathbf{I}' \equiv \mathbf{d}\mathbf{I}/\mathbf{d}\Omega > \mathbf{0}$

B-field determination form P and P in the case dI/d\Omega \neq 0

The value of the magnetic field deduced from the **measured values of P and dP/dt**, when the proper frequency dependence of the moment of inertia is considerd, is given by

$$\widetilde{B}_p = \left(1 + \frac{I'\Omega}{2I}\right)^{1/2} B_p$$

 B_p being the value obtained for constant moment of inertia *I*.

$$B_{p} \sin \alpha = \frac{\sqrt{6c^{3}}}{2\pi} \frac{I^{1/2}}{R^{3}} \left(PP\right)^{1/2}$$

 $I' \equiv dI/d\Omega > 0$, thus the "true" value B_p of the magnetic field is larger than the value B_p deduced assuming I'=0.

apparent braking index

$$\widetilde{n}(\Omega) \equiv \Omega \Omega' \Omega'^2 = n - \frac{3I'\Omega + I''\Omega^2}{2I + I'\Omega}$$

 $\tilde{n}(\Omega) < n$ because I'>0 and I''>0 (the moment of inertia increases with Ω and the centrifugal force grows with the equatorial radius).

