Electroweak probes in the search of the strangeness content in the nucleon

<u>R. González-Jiménez</u><sup>1</sup>, M. B. Barbaro<sup>2</sup>, J. A. Caballero<sup>1</sup>, T. W. Donnelly<sup>3</sup>, M. V. Ivanov<sup>4,5</sup>, J. M. Udías<sup>5</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Dpto. de FAMN, U.S., Sevilla, Spain.

<sup>2</sup>Dpto. di FT, Università di Torino and INFN, Torino, Italy

<sup>3</sup>Center for Theoretical Physics, M.I.T., Cambridge, Massachusetts, USA.

<sup>4</sup>INRNE, Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, Sofia, Bulgaria.

<sup>5</sup>Dpto. de FAMN, Facultad de Ciencias Físicas, U.C.M., Madrid, Spain

Nuclear Physics School 2013, Otranto (Italy), May 27-31, 2013

= 990



- Motivation
- Electroweak neutral currents

Hadronic structure: Nucleon Form Factors
 Flavor decomposition

#### 3 Results

- PV Asymmetry
- NCQE *v*-nucleus
- Summary and Conclusions

= nar

Motivation Electroweak neutral currents

#### Internal structure of the nucleon

#### Constituent quarks



#### Sea quark $\bar{q}q \rightarrow \bar{s}s$ -pair contribution



R. González-Jiménez

Strangeness on the nucleon

Motivation Electroweak neutral currents

## Probes to study the nucleon structure

# Electrons. Mainly, EM interaction. EM structure of the

nucleon.

• Neutrinos.

# Weak interaction (we focus on Neutral Current processes). Weak structure of the nucleon.



< 🗇 🕨

(신문) (신문)

Motivation Electroweak neutral currents

General expression of the current operator of the (free) nucleon

From general symmetry properties:

$$\hat{J}_{EM}^{\mu(p,n)} = \gamma^{\mu} \frac{G_{E}^{(p,n)} + \tau G_{M}^{(p,n)}}{1 + \tau} + i \frac{\sigma^{\mu\nu} Q_{\nu}}{2M} \frac{G_{M}^{(p,n)} - G_{E}^{(p,n)}}{1 + \tau}$$
$$\tilde{J}_{Z}^{\mu(p,n)} = \gamma^{\mu} \frac{\widetilde{G}_{E}^{(p,n)} + \tau \widetilde{G}_{M}^{(p,n)}}{1 + \tau} + i \frac{\sigma^{\mu\nu} Q_{\nu}}{2M} \frac{\widetilde{G}_{M}^{(p,n)} - \widetilde{G}_{E}^{(p,n)}}{1 + \tau} + \gamma^{\mu} \gamma^{5} G_{A}^{(p,n)}$$

The EM structure of the nucleon is parametrized by the EM form factors:  $G_{E,M}^{(p,n)}$ . The WNC structure of the nucleon is parametrized by the WNC form factors:  $\tilde{G}_{E,M}^{(p,n)}$ , in the vector sector; and  $G_A^{(p,n)}$  in the axial-vector sector.

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆三 > ◆三 > 三日 の Q @

# **Flavor decomposition of the form factos:** We consider the contributions of the flavors: u, d and s.

$$\begin{aligned} G_{E,M}^{p(n)} &= \frac{2}{3} G_{E,M}^{u(d)} - \frac{1}{3} \left( G_{E,M}^{d(u)} + G_{E,M}^{s} \right) , \\ \widetilde{G}_{E,M}^{p(n)} &= (1 - \frac{8}{3} \sin^2 \theta_W) G_{E,M}^{u(d)} - (1 - \frac{4}{3} \sin^2 \theta_W) \left( G_{E,M}^{d(u)} + G_{E,M}^{s} \right) , \\ G_A^{p(n)} &= -G_A^{u(d)} + G_A^{d(u)} + G_A^{s} , \end{aligned}$$

(ロ) (部) (王) (王) (王) (の)

Introduction Hadronic structure: Nucleon Form Factors Flavor decomposition Results

**Flavor decomposition of the form factos:** We only considered the contributions of the flavors: u, d and s.

$$\begin{array}{lll} \hline G_{E,M}^{p(n)} & = & \frac{2}{3}G_{E,M}^{u(d)} - \frac{1}{3}\left(G_{E,M}^{d(u)} + G_{E,M}^{s}\right), & \hline G_{E,M}^{p(n)} \text{ well known} \\ \hline \widetilde{G}_{E,M}^{p(n)} & = & (1 - \frac{8}{3}\sin^2\theta_W)G_{E,M}^{u(d)} - (1 - \frac{4}{3}\sin^2\theta_W)\left(G_{E,M}^{d(u)} + G_{E,M}^{s}\right), \\ \hline G_{A}^{p(n)} & = & \hline -G_{A}^{u(d)} + G_{A}^{d(u)} + G_{A}^{s}, & \boxed{2G_{A}^{(3)} = G_{A}^{u} - G_{A}^{d}} \text{ "known"} \end{array}$$

ミ▶ ▲ ミト ミニ のへ⊙

< 🗇 🕨

**Flavor decomposition of the form factos:** We only considered the contributions of the flavors: u, d and s.

$$\begin{array}{lll} \hline G_{E,M}^{p(n)} &=& \frac{2}{3}G_{E,M}^{u(d)} - \frac{1}{3}\left(G_{E,M}^{d(u)} + G_{E,M}^{s}\right), \quad \hline G_{E,M}^{p(n)} \text{ well known} \\ \hline \widetilde{G}_{E,M}^{p(n)} &=& (1 - \frac{8}{3}\sin^2\theta_W)G_{E,M}^{u(d)} - (1 - \frac{4}{3}\sin^2\theta_W)\left(G_{E,M}^{d(u)} + G_{E,M}^{s}\right), \\ \hline G_{A}^{p(n)} &=& \boxed{-G_{A}^{u(d)} + G_{A}^{d(u)}} + G_{A}^{s}, \quad \boxed{2G_{A}^{(3)} = G_{A}^{u} - G_{A}^{d}} \text{ "known"} \end{array}$$

If one could measure  $G_{E,M}^{(s)}$  and  $G_A^{(s)}$ , the equations could be trivially solved.

That is: the determination of the strangeness content in the nucleon could help to disentangle the role played by each flavor in the electroweak structure of the nucleon.

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆三 > ◆三 > 三日 の Q @

## How to study the strange form factors?

We have analysed two different processes

 $1^{er}$ ) Parity Violation Asymmetry ( $\mathcal{A}^{PV}$ )

$$\vec{e} + p \longrightarrow e + p$$
  $\mapsto$   $\mathcal{A}^{PV} = \frac{\sigma^+ - \sigma^-}{\sigma^+ + \sigma^-}$ 



イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

## How to study the strange form factors?

We have analysed two different processes

 $2^{nd}$ ) Neutral Current Quasielastic Neutrino Nucleus Scattering: MiniBooNE experiment - (target: CH<sub>2</sub>).

$$u ~+~ A ~\longrightarrow~ \nu ~+~ N ~+~ (A-1)$$



> < 프 > < 프 >

**PV Asymmetry** NCQE ν-nucleus Summary and Conclusions

## Parity violation asymmetry

#### Sources of theoretical errors and their impact on $\mathcal{A}^{PV}$

EM form factor	< 5%		
Radiative corrections	$\sim 5\%$ (at worst)		
(higher order processes)	$( Q^2 <1)$		
Axial form factor			
(axial mass, $M_A$ )	$\sim$ 5%		
Electric and magnetic strangeness			
$( ho_{s} \; {\sf and} \; \mu_{s})$	higher than 50%		

**Reference:** Physics Report 524 (2013) 1-35; R. González-Jimenez, J. A. Caballero and T. W. Donnelly

= nan

- **A B A B A B A** 

 Introduction
 PV Asymmetry

 Hadronic structure: Nucleon Form Factors
 NCQE  $\nu$ -nucleus

 Results
 Summary and Conclusions



Figure: PV asymmetry data. Each panel corresponds to a different scattering angle.

A B >
 A B >
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A

▲ 臣 ▶ | ★ 臣 ▶

= 990



Figure: World data constraint in the  $\mu_s - \rho_s$  plane. 1- $\sigma$  (red) and 2- $\sigma$  (blue) allowed region.

- Electric strangeness,  $G_E^{(s)} \longleftrightarrow \rho_s$ , and Magnetic strangeness,  $G_M^{(s)} \longleftrightarrow \mu_s$ .
- χ<sup>2</sup> analysis using all the experimental data up to date.
- Confidence contours around the point of maximum likelihood (68.3% and 95.5%) for the strange parameters: electric, ρ<sub>s</sub>, and magnetic, μ<sub>s</sub>.
- The (0,0) point (nule strangeness) is out of the 2σ contour.

イロン イヨン イヨン 注意

= ∕) < (~

 Introduction
 PV Asymmetry

 Hadronic structure: Nucleon Form Factors
 NCQE  $\nu$ -nucleus

 Results
 Summary and Conclusions



Figure: PV asymmetry data with our prediction for some representative values of  $\rho_s$  and  $\mu_s$ . The colored band represents the effect of the axial mass.

▲ 문 ▶ 문문

-

< ∃ >

< 17 ►



Figure: PV asymmetry data with our prediction for some representative values of  $\rho_s$  and  $\mu_s$ . The colored band represents the effect of the axial mass.

《曰》 《聞》 《臣》 《臣》 [王]

= 2000

#### MiniBooNE (NCQE neutrino-CH<sub>2</sub>) observables



Figure: MiniBooNE data. Cross section (left) ratio (right).

Reference: Physics Letters B 718 (2013) 1471-1474; R. González-Jimenez, M. V. Ivanov, M. B. Barbaro, J. A. Caballero and J. M. Udias 
 Introduction
 PV Asymmetry

 Hadronic structure: Nucleon Form Factors
 NCQE ν-nucleus

 Results
 Summary and Conclusions

# Sources of theoretical errors and their impact on the observables

	Cross section	Ratio $\left(\frac{\sigma_p}{\sigma_p+\sigma_n}\right)$	
EM form factor	< 1%	< 1%	
Electric strangeness			
$( ho_{s}\pm1\sigma)$	<<1%	< 1%	
Magnetic strangeness			
$(\mu_{s}\pm1\sigma)$	<<1%	< 5%	
Nuclear model (FSI)	10-30%	< 5%	
	(low to high $ Q^2 $ )		
Axial form factor	20 - 50%	$\sim 7-8\%$	
$M_A \in (1.03, \ 1.45)$	(low to high $ Q^2 $ )		
Axial strangeness			
$g_A^{(s)} \in (-0.3, \ 0.3)$	< 1%	$\sim 18\%$	
	< 🗆		

R. González-Jiménez Strangeness on the nucleon

		Intro	duction	PV Asymmetry	
Hadronic structure:	Nucleon F	Form	Factors	NCQE $\nu$ -nucleus	
			Results	Summary and Conclusions	



Figure: MiniBooNE data. Cross section (left). Ratio (right).

(4) 臣

포네크

(1°): We fit  $M_A$  using the cross section data.  $M_A = 1.34 \pm 0.06$  GeV (RMF model) and  $M_A = 1.42 \pm 0.06$  (SuSA).



Figure: MiniBooNE data. Cross section (left). Ratio (right).

・ロト ・同ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

(1°): We fit  $M_A$  using the cross section data.  $M_A = 1.34 \pm 0.06$  GeV (RMF model) and  $M_A = 1.42 \pm 0.06$  (SuSA). (2°): We fit  $g_A^{(s)}$  using the ratio data (and the previous  $M_A$  value).  $g_A^{(s)} = 0.04 \pm 0.28$  (RMF model) and  $g_A^{(s)} = -0.06 \pm 0.31$ .



Figure: MiniBooNE data. Cross section (left). Ratio (right).

イロン イヨン イヨン 注意

(1°): We fit  $M_A$  using the cross section data.  $M_A = 1.34 \pm 0.06$  GeV (RMF model) and  $M_A = 1.42 \pm 0.06$  (SuSA). (2°): We fit  $g_A^{(s)}$  using the ratio data (and the previous  $M_A$  value).  $g_A^{(s)} = 0.04 \pm 0.28$  (RMF model) and  $g_A^{(s)} = -0.06 \pm 0.31$  (SuSA).



Figure: MiniBooNE data. Cross section (left). Ratio (right).

イロン イヨン イヨン 注意

## Summary and Conclusions

- The electroweak structure of the nucleon have been investigated through the study of the nucleon form factors.
- All PV asymmetry data up to date have been used to constrain the electric and magnetic strangeness content of the nucleon.
- The NCQE neutrino cross section MiniBooNE data have been used to fit the axial mass.
- The proton/neutron ratio data from the neutrino NCQE MiniBooNE experiment have been employed to constrain the axial strangeness content of the nucleon.
- Further investigations are needed before definitive conclusions are drawn.

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆三 > ◆三 > 三日 の Q @

# Thank you for your attention

(本間) (本語) (本語)

= nan

Since the nucleons are made of quarks, the nucleon current is the nucleon matrix element of the quark current operators:

$$J_{\mu}^{EM} = \langle N_{f} | \hat{J}_{\mu}^{EM} | N_{i} \rangle = \langle N_{f} | \sum_{q=u,d,s} Q_{q} \bar{u}_{q} \gamma_{\mu} u_{q} | N_{i} \rangle$$
$$J_{\mu}^{Z,V} = \langle N_{f} | \hat{J}_{\mu}^{Z,V} | N_{i} \rangle = \langle N_{f} | \sum_{q=u,d,s} g_{V}^{q} \bar{u}_{q} \gamma_{\mu} u_{q} | N_{i} \rangle$$
$$J_{\mu}^{Z,A} = \langle N_{f} | \hat{J}_{\mu}^{Z,A} | N_{i} \rangle = \langle N_{f} | \sum_{q=u,d,s} g_{A}^{q} \bar{u}_{q} \gamma_{\mu} \gamma_{5} u_{q} | N_{i} \rangle$$

We only consider the contributions of the pairs:  $\bar{u}u$ ,  $\bar{d}d$  and  $\bar{s}s$ . The contribution of the heavier quarks is expected to be of the order of  $10^{-4}$  ( $10^{-2}$ ) for the vector (axial-vector) currents. Flavor decomposition of the vector form factos:

$$\begin{split} G_{E,M}^{p} &= \frac{2}{3} G_{E,M}^{u} - \frac{1}{3} \left( G_{E,M}^{d} + G_{E,M}^{s} \right) \,, \\ G_{E,M}^{n} &= \frac{2}{3} G_{E,M}^{d} - \frac{1}{3} \left( G_{E,M}^{u} + G_{E,M}^{s} \right) \,, \\ \widetilde{G}_{E,M}^{p} &= (1 - \frac{8}{3} \sin^{2} \theta_{W}) G_{E,M}^{u} - (1 - \frac{4}{3} \sin^{2} \theta_{W}) \left( G_{E,M}^{d} + G_{E,M}^{s} \right) \,, \\ \widetilde{G}_{E,M}^{n} &= (1 - \frac{8}{3} \sin^{2} \theta_{W}) G_{E,M}^{d} - (1 - \frac{4}{3} \sin^{2} \theta_{W}) \left( G_{E,M}^{u} + G_{E,M}^{s} \right) \,, \end{split}$$

Flavor decomposition of the vector form factos:

$$\begin{split} \overline{G_{E,M}^{p}} &= \frac{2}{3} G_{E,M}^{\mathbf{u}} - \frac{1}{3} \left( G_{E,M}^{\mathbf{d}} + G_{E,M}^{\mathbf{s}} \right) , \quad \overline{G_{E,M}^{p}} \text{ well known} \\ \overline{G_{E,M}^{n}} &= \frac{2}{3} G_{E,M}^{\mathbf{d}} - \frac{1}{3} \left( G_{E,M}^{\mathbf{u}} + G_{E,M}^{\mathbf{s}} \right) , \quad \overline{G_{E,M}^{n}} \text{ relatively well known} \\ \overline{G}_{E,M}^{p} &= (1 - \frac{8}{3} \sin^{2} \theta_{W}) G_{E,M}^{\mathbf{u}} - (1 - \frac{4}{3} \sin^{2} \theta_{W}) \left( G_{E,M}^{\mathbf{d}} + G_{E,M}^{\mathbf{s}} \right) , \\ \overline{G}_{E,M}^{n} &= (1 - \frac{8}{3} \sin^{2} \theta_{W}) G_{E,M}^{\mathbf{d}} - (1 - \frac{4}{3} \sin^{2} \theta_{W}) \left( G_{E,M}^{\mathbf{d}} + G_{E,M}^{\mathbf{s}} \right) , \end{split}$$

Flavor decomposition of the vector form factos:

$$\begin{split} \overline{G_{E,M}^{p}} &= \frac{2}{3} G_{E,M}^{\mathbf{u}} - \frac{1}{3} \left( G_{E,M}^{\mathbf{d}} + G_{E,M}^{\mathbf{s}} \right) , \quad \overline{G_{E,M}^{p}} \text{ well known} \\ \overline{G_{E,M}^{n}} &= \frac{2}{3} G_{E,M}^{\mathbf{d}} - \frac{1}{3} \left( G_{E,M}^{\mathbf{u}} + G_{E,M}^{\mathbf{s}} \right) , \quad \overline{G_{E,M}^{n}} \text{ relatively well known} \\ \overline{G}_{E,M}^{p} &= (1 - \frac{8}{3} \sin^{2} \theta_{W}) G_{E,M}^{\mathbf{u}} - (1 - \frac{4}{3} \sin^{2} \theta_{W}) \left( G_{E,M}^{\mathbf{d}} + G_{E,M}^{\mathbf{s}} \right) , \\ \overline{G}_{E,M}^{n} &= (1 - \frac{8}{3} \sin^{2} \theta_{W}) G_{E,M}^{\mathbf{d}} - (1 - \frac{4}{3} \sin^{2} \theta_{W}) \left( G_{E,M}^{\mathbf{d}} + G_{E,M}^{\mathbf{s}} \right) , \end{split}$$

We have 5 unknowns:  $\widetilde{G}_{E,M}^{p}$ ,  $\widetilde{G}_{E,M}^{p}$ ,  $G_{E,M}^{u}$ ,  $G_{E,M}^{d}$ ,  $G_{E,M}^{s}$ ; and 4 equations. Thus, if, experimentaly, one measures, for instance,  $G_{E,M}^{s}$  the equations can be trivially solved.

Flavor decomposition of the axial-vector form factos:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} G^{p}_{A} & = & -G^{\mathbf{u}}_{A}+G^{\mathbf{d}}_{A}+G^{\mathbf{s}}_{A}\,, \\ G^{n}_{A} & = & G^{\mathbf{u}}_{A}-G^{\mathbf{d}}_{A}+G^{\mathbf{s}}_{A}\,. \end{array}$$

#### Flavor decomposition of the axial-vector form factos:

$$G_{A}^{p} = -G_{A}^{\mathbf{u}} + G_{A}^{\mathbf{d}} + G_{A}^{\mathbf{s}},$$

$$G_{A}^{n} = G_{A}^{\mathbf{u}} - G_{A}^{\mathbf{d}} + G_{A}^{\mathbf{s}}.$$

$$2G_{A}^{(3)} = G_{A}^{\mathbf{u}} - G_{A}^{\mathbf{d}}, \text{ determined by } \beta\text{-decay measures}$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

#### Flavor decomposition of the axial-vector form factos:

$$\begin{aligned} G_A^{p} &= \boxed{-G_A^{u} + G_A^{d}} + G_A^{s}, \\ G_A^{n} &= \boxed{G_A^{u} - G_A^{d}} + G_A^{s}. \\ \hline 2G_A^{(3)} &= G_A^{u} - G_A^{d}, \text{ determined by } \beta \text{-decay measures} \end{aligned}$$

We have 2 equations and 3 unknows. If, experimentally, one measures, for instance,  $G_A^{(s)}$  the equations can be trivially solved.

= nan

The observable PV asymmetry is defined as

$$\mathcal{A}^{PV} = \frac{\sigma^+ - \sigma^-}{\sigma^+ + \sigma^-}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}^{PV} &= \frac{\mathcal{A}_0}{G} \left[ -(1 - 4\sin^2\theta_W) + \varepsilon G_E^p (G_E^n + \boxed{G_E^{(s)}}) \right. \\ &+ \tau G_M^p (G_M^n + \boxed{G_M^{(s)}}) + \delta' (1 - 4\sin^2\theta_W) G_M^p G_A^p \right] \end{aligned}$$

The study of PV Asymmetry allow us to explore the Electric and Magnetic Strangeness of the Nucleon:  $G_E^{(s)}$  and  $G_M^{(s)}$ .

The observable Ratio is defined as

$$\mathsf{R} = \frac{\sigma_{\boldsymbol{p},^{12}\boldsymbol{C}} + \sigma_{\boldsymbol{H}_2}}{\sigma_{\boldsymbol{n},^{12}\boldsymbol{C}} + \sigma_{\boldsymbol{p},^{12}\boldsymbol{C}} + \sigma_{\boldsymbol{H}_2}}$$

The study of the Ratio allow us to explore the Axial Strangeness of the Nucleon:  $G_A^{(s)}$ .

◎ ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ ▲ 臣 ■ ● ● ●



Figure: World data constraint in the  $\mu_s - \rho_s$  plane. The red (dark) and blue ellipses represent 68.27% ( $1\sigma \Rightarrow \Delta \chi^2 = 2.30$ ) and 95.45% ( $2\sigma \Rightarrow \Delta \chi^2 = 6.18$ ) confidence contours around the point of maximum likelihood (black). Each panel corresponds to different values of the dipole axial mass,  $M_A$ , and dipole (monopole) vector strange mass;  $M_V^{-1} \sim R$ . Gorzález-liménz