

# Solutions for real dispersionless Veselov-Novikov hierarchy

Jen-Hsu Chang  
(Joint Work with Yu-Tung Chen)

Department of Computer Science  
National Defense University, Taiwan

Workshop on Nonlinear Physics( V )  
June 2008

# Outline

- 1 Introduction
- 2 The dVN hierarchy
- 3 Faber Polynomials of 2-dBKP
- 4 Symmetry constraint of dVN hierarchy and conserved densities
- 5 Hodograph solutions of dVN hierarchy
- 6  $2N$ -component case

- The Veselov-Novikov equation [Veselov & Novikov 84]

$$u_\tau = (uV)_z + (u\bar{V})_{\bar{z}} + u_{zzz} + u_{\bar{z}\bar{z}\bar{z}}, \quad V_{\bar{z}} = -3u_z, \quad (1)$$

where  $z = x + iy$  and it is a two-dimensional integrable extension of the KdV equation.

- **The Veselov-Novikov equation** [Veselov & Novikov 84]

$$u_\tau = (uV)_z + (u\bar{V})_{\bar{z}} + u_{zzz} + u_{\bar{z}\bar{z}\bar{z}}, \quad V_{\bar{z}} = -3u_z, \quad (1)$$

where  $z = x + iy$  and it is a two-dimensional integrable extension of the KdV equation.

- **Dispersionless Veselov-Novikov (dVN) equation**

$$u_\tau = (uV)_z + (u\bar{V})_{\bar{z}}, \quad V_{\bar{z}} = -3u_z. \quad (2)$$

- **The Veselov-Novikov equation** [Veselov & Novikov 84]

$$u_\tau = (uV)_z + (u\bar{V})_{\bar{z}} + u_{zzz} + u_{\bar{z}\bar{z}\bar{z}}, \quad V_{\bar{z}} = -3u_z, \quad (1)$$

where  $z = x + iy$  and it is a two-dimensional integrable extension of the KdV equation.

- **Dispersionless Veselov-Novikov (dVN) equation**

$$u_\tau = (uV)_z + (u\bar{V})_{\bar{z}}, \quad V_{\bar{z}} = -3u_z. \quad (2)$$

⇒ **Quasiclassical  $\bar{\partial}$ -dressing method** [Konopelchenko, Martínez Alonso, Bogdanov, & Moro 02–06]

- **The Veselov-Novikov equation** [Veselov & Novikov 84]

$$u_\tau = (uV)_z + (u\bar{V})_{\bar{z}} + u_{zzz} + u_{\bar{z}\bar{z}\bar{z}}, \quad V_{\bar{z}} = -3u_z, \quad (1)$$

where  $z = x + iy$  and it is a two-dimensional integrable extension of the KdV equation.

- **Dispersionless Veselov-Novikov (dVN) equation**

$$u_\tau = (uV)_z + (u\bar{V})_{\bar{z}}, \quad V_{\bar{z}} = -3u_z. \quad (2)$$

⇒ **Quasiclassical  $\bar{\partial}$ -dressing method** [Konopelchenko, Martínez Alonso, Bogdanov, & Moro 02–06]

# From 2-dBKP hierarchy to dVN hierarchy

The dispersionless Hirota equations of two-component BKP system [Takasaki 06; Tu & Chen 06]

$$\frac{p(\lambda) - p(\mu)}{p(\lambda) + p(\mu)} = \exp(-D(\lambda)S(\mu)), \quad (3)$$

$$\frac{\tilde{p}(\lambda) - \tilde{p}(\mu)}{\tilde{p}(\lambda) + \tilde{p}(\mu)} = \exp(-\tilde{D}(\lambda)\tilde{S}(\mu)), \quad (4)$$

$$\frac{p(\lambda) - \tilde{q}(\mu)}{p(\lambda) + \tilde{q}(\mu)} = \exp(-D(\lambda)\tilde{S}(\mu)), \quad (5)$$

$$\frac{\tilde{p}(\lambda) - q(\mu)}{\tilde{p}(\lambda) + q(\mu)} = \exp(-\tilde{D}(\lambda)S(\mu)), \quad (6)$$

where the quasiclassical vertex operators are

$$D(\lambda) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{2\lambda^{-2n-1}}{2n+1} \partial_{t_{2n+1}}, \quad \tilde{D}(\lambda) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{2\lambda^{-2n-1}}{2n+1} \partial_{\tilde{t}_{2n+1}},$$

and the quasiclassical wave functions are

$$S(\lambda) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} t_{2n+1} \lambda^{2n+1} - D(\lambda) \mathcal{F},$$
$$\tilde{S}(\lambda) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \tilde{t}_{2n+1} \lambda^{2n+1} - \tilde{D}(\lambda) \mathcal{F}.$$

Moreover,  $p(\lambda)$ ,  $q(\lambda)$ ,  $\tilde{p}(\lambda)$  and  $\tilde{q}(\lambda)$  are defined by



and the quasiclassical wave functions are

$$S(\lambda) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} t_{2n+1} \lambda^{2n+1} - D(\lambda) \mathcal{F},$$

$$\tilde{S}(\lambda) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \tilde{t}_{2n+1} \lambda^{2n+1} - \tilde{D}(\lambda) \mathcal{F}.$$

Moreover,  $p(\lambda)$ ,  $q(\lambda)$ ,  $\tilde{p}(\lambda)$  and  $\tilde{q}(\lambda)$  are defined by

$$p(\lambda) = \partial_{t_1} S(\lambda), \quad q(\lambda) = \partial_{\tilde{t}_1} S(\lambda), \quad (7)$$

$$\tilde{p}(\lambda) = \partial_{\tilde{t}_1} \tilde{S}(\lambda), \quad \tilde{q}(\lambda) = \partial_{t_1} \tilde{S}(\lambda). \quad (8)$$

and the quasiclassical wave functions are

$$S(\lambda) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} t_{2n+1} \lambda^{2n+1} - D(\lambda) \mathcal{F},$$

$$\tilde{S}(\lambda) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \tilde{t}_{2n+1} \lambda^{2n+1} - \tilde{D}(\lambda) \mathcal{F}.$$

Moreover,  $p(\lambda)$ ,  $q(\lambda)$ ,  $\tilde{p}(\lambda)$  and  $\tilde{q}(\lambda)$  are defined by

$$p(\lambda) = \partial_{t_1} S(\lambda), \quad q(\lambda) = \partial_{\tilde{t}_1} S(\lambda), \quad (7)$$

$$\tilde{p}(\lambda) = \partial_{\tilde{t}_1} \tilde{S}(\lambda), \quad \tilde{q}(\lambda) = \partial_{t_1} \tilde{S}(\lambda). \quad (8)$$

**Extra equation:** (5) = (6) with  $\lambda \leftrightarrow \mu$ , then

and the quasiclassical wave functions are

$$S(\lambda) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} t_{2n+1} \lambda^{2n+1} - D(\lambda) \mathcal{F},$$

$$\tilde{S}(\lambda) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \tilde{t}_{2n+1} \lambda^{2n+1} - \tilde{D}(\lambda) \mathcal{F}.$$

Moreover,  $p(\lambda)$ ,  $q(\lambda)$ ,  $\tilde{p}(\lambda)$  and  $\tilde{q}(\lambda)$  are defined by

$$p(\lambda) = \partial_{t_1} S(\lambda), \quad q(\lambda) = \partial_{\tilde{t}_1} S(\lambda), \quad (7)$$

$$\tilde{p}(\lambda) = \partial_{\tilde{t}_1} \tilde{S}(\lambda), \quad \tilde{q}(\lambda) = \partial_{t_1} \tilde{S}(\lambda). \quad (8)$$

**Extra equation:** (5) = (6) with  $\lambda \leftrightarrow \mu$ , then

$$p(\lambda)q(\lambda) = \tilde{p}(\mu)\tilde{q}(\mu).$$

Letting  $\lambda, \mu \rightarrow \infty$  one obtains

$$-2\mathcal{F}_{t_1, \tilde{t}_1} = -2\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\tilde{t}_1, t_1} = u \quad (9)$$

where  $u = u(t_1, t_2, \dots; \tilde{t}_1, \tilde{t}_2, \dots)$  is a scalar function and, for arbitrary  $\lambda$ , one has

$$p(\lambda)q(\lambda) = \tilde{p}(\lambda)\tilde{q}(\lambda) = u. \quad (10)$$

dVN hierarchy: Denoting

$$H_{2n+1} = 2 \frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{F}}{\partial t_{2n+1} \partial t_1}, \quad \hat{H}_{2n+1} = 2 \frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{F}}{\partial t_{2n+1} \partial \tilde{t}_1},$$

$$\tilde{H}_{2n+1} = 2 \frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{F}}{\partial \tilde{t}_{2n+1} \partial \tilde{t}_1}, \quad \tilde{\hat{H}}_{2n+1} = 2 \frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{F}}{\partial \tilde{t}_{2n+1} \partial t_1},$$

then, from Eq.(9) the evolution of  $u$  with respect to  $t_{2n+1}$  and  $\tilde{t}_{2n+1}$  can be read respectively as

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t_{2n+1}} = -(H_{2n+1})_{\tilde{t}_1} = -(\hat{H}_{2n+1})_{t_1}, \quad (11)$$

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial \tilde{t}_{2n+1}} = -(\tilde{H}_{2n+1})_{t_1} = -(\tilde{\hat{H}}_{2n+1})_{\tilde{t}_1}. \quad (12)$$

Define  $\tau_{2n+1}$ -flow:

- 1  $\partial_{\tau_{2n+1}} := \partial_{t_{2n+1}} + \partial_{\tilde{t}_{2n+1}}$
- 2  $\tilde{t}_{2n+1}$  as the complex conjugate of  $t_{2n+1}$ , in particular,  $t_1 := z$  and  $\tilde{t}_1 := \bar{z}$ , where  $z = x + iy$ .
- 3 the functions  $\tilde{H}_{2n+1} = \bar{H}_{2n+1}$ , and  $\tilde{\tilde{H}}_{2n+1} = \tilde{H}_{2n+1}$ .

Define  $\tau_{2n+1}$ -flow:

- ①  $\partial_{\tau_{2n+1}} := \partial_{t_{2n+1}} + \partial_{\tilde{t}_{2n+1}}$
- ②  $\tilde{t}_{2n+1}$  as the complex conjugate of  $t_{2n+1}$ , in particular,  $t_1 := z$  and  $\tilde{t}_1 := \bar{z}$ , where  $z = x + iy$ .
- ③ the functions  $\tilde{H}_{2n+1} = \bar{H}_{2n+1}$ , and  $\hat{H}_{2n+1} = \tilde{H}_{2n+1}$ .

(11) + (12) for  $n \geq 1$  together with  $n = 0$  in (11) (or (12)) we get  
**the dVN hierarchy**

$$u_{\tau_{2n+1}} = -(\hat{H}_{2n+1})_z - (\tilde{H}_{2n+1})_{\bar{z}}, \quad u_z = -(H_1)_{\bar{z}}, \quad (13)$$

(For  $n = 1 \implies$  It's the dVN equation)

# The n-th Faber polynomials

Def.  $\Phi_n(w)$  is the  $n$ -th Faber polynomial of  $p(\lambda)$  defined by

$$\log \frac{p(\lambda) - w}{\lambda} = - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Phi_n(w)}{n} \lambda^{-n}, \quad (14)$$

in which  $p(\lambda)$  is **univalent function at infinity** and the left hand side is analytic for large  $\lambda$  for fixed  $w \in \mathbb{C}$



# The n-th Faber polynomials

Def.  $\Phi_n(w)$  is the  $n$ -th Faber polynomial of  $p(\lambda)$  defined by

$$\log \frac{p(\lambda) - w}{\lambda} = - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Phi_n(w)}{n} \lambda^{-n}, \quad (14)$$

in which  $p(\lambda)$  is **univalent function at infinity** and the left hand side is analytic for large  $\lambda$  for fixed  $w \in \mathbb{C}$

Take into account the symmetry conditions [Takasaki 06]

$$p(-\lambda) = -p(\lambda), \quad \Phi_n(-w) = (-1)^n \Phi_n(w).$$

One can write

$$\log \frac{p(\lambda) - w}{p(\lambda) + w} = - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{2\Phi_{2n+1}(w)}{2n+1} \lambda^{-2n-1}, \quad (15)$$

Replace  $w$  in (15) by  $p(\mu)$  and by  $\tilde{q}(\mu)$ , respectively. Then Eqs.(3) and (5) reduce to the following system of Hamilton-Jacobi equations

$$\frac{\partial S(\mu)}{\partial t_{2n+1}} = \Phi_{2n+1}(p(\mu)), \quad \frac{\partial \tilde{S}(\mu)}{\partial t_{2n+1}} = \Phi_{2n+1}(\tilde{q}(\mu)). \quad (16)$$

Replace  $w$  in (15) by  $p(\mu)$  and by  $\tilde{q}(\mu)$ , respectively. Then Eqs.(3) and (5) reduce to the following system of Hamilton-Jacobi equations

$$\frac{\partial S(\mu)}{\partial t_{2n+1}} = \Phi_{2n+1}(p(\mu)), \quad \frac{\partial \tilde{S}(\mu)}{\partial t_{2n+1}} = \Phi_{2n+1}(\tilde{q}(\mu)). \quad (16)$$

Differentiating the above equations with respect to  $z, \bar{z}$ , we have time evolutions of  $p(\mu), q(\mu), \tilde{p}(\mu)$  and  $\tilde{q}(\mu)$  in  $t_{2n+1}$ -flow:

$$\frac{\partial p(\mu)}{\partial t_{2n+1}} = \partial_z \Phi_{2n+1}(p(\mu)), \quad \frac{\partial q(\mu)}{\partial t_{2n+1}} = \partial_{\bar{z}} \Phi_{2n+1}(p(\mu)), \quad (17)$$

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{p}(\mu)}{\partial t_{2n+1}} = \partial_{\bar{z}} \Phi_{2n+1}(\tilde{q}(\mu)), \quad \frac{\partial \tilde{q}(\mu)}{\partial t_{2n+1}} = \partial_z \Phi_{2n+1}(\tilde{q}(\mu)). \quad (18)$$

In the same way, for the Hirota equations (4) and (6), one can derive the corresponding Hamilton-Jacobi equations via the expression of **Faber polynomials** as

$$\log \frac{\tilde{p}(\lambda) - w}{\tilde{p}(\lambda) + w} = - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{2\tilde{\Phi}_{2n+1}(w)}{2n+1} \lambda^{-2n-1}. \quad (19)$$

With substitutions of  $w = \tilde{p}(\mu)$  and  $w = q(\mu)$ , we have also the following time evolutions of  $p(\mu)$ ,  $q(\mu)$ ,  $\tilde{p}(\mu)$  and  $\tilde{q}(\mu)$  with respect to  $\tilde{t}_{2n+1}$ -flow

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{p}(\mu)}{\partial \tilde{t}_{2n+1}} = \partial_{\tilde{z}} \tilde{\Phi}_{2n+1}(\tilde{p}(\mu)), \quad \frac{\partial \tilde{q}(\mu)}{\partial \tilde{t}_{2n+1}} = \partial_{\tilde{z}} \tilde{\Phi}_{2n+1}(\tilde{p}(\mu)), \quad (20)$$

$$\frac{\partial p(\mu)}{\partial \tilde{t}_{2n+1}} = \partial_{\tilde{z}} \tilde{\Phi}_{2n+1}(q(\mu)), \quad \frac{\partial q(\mu)}{\partial \tilde{t}_{2n+1}} = \partial_{\tilde{z}} \tilde{\Phi}_{2n+1}(q(\mu)). \quad (21)$$

# $H_{2n+1}, \hat{H}_{2n+1}$ generate Faber polynomials

We first differentiate (15) to the both sides with respect to  $\lambda$  and obtain

$$\frac{wp'(\lambda)}{p^2(\lambda) - w^2} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \Phi_{2n+1}(w) \lambda^{-2n-2}.$$

Putting  $p(\lambda) = \lambda - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{H_{2n+1}}{2n+1} \lambda^{-2n-1}$  into this expression and comparing coefficients of powers of  $\lambda$  on both sides, we solve recursively

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_1(w) &= w, \\ \Phi_3(w) &= w^3 + 3H_1w, \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_5(w) &= w^5 + 5H_1w^3 + 5(H_1^2 + H_3/3)w, \\ \Phi_7(w) &= w^7 + 7H_1w^5 + 7(2H_1^2 + H_3/3)w^3 \\ &\quad + 7(H_1^3 + (2/3)H_1H_3 + H_5/5)w. \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

They obey the recursive formula, for  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{2n+5}(w) &= w^2 \Phi_{2n+3}(w) \\ &\quad - \sum_{m=0}^n \sum_{k=0}^{n-m} \frac{H_{2n-2m-2k+1} H_{2k+1}}{(2n-2m-2k+1)(2k+1)} \Phi_{2m+1}(w) \\ &\quad + 2 \sum_{m=0}^{n+1} \frac{H_{2n-2m+3}}{2n-2m+3} \Phi_{2m+1} + w H_{2n+3}, \end{aligned}$$

They obey the recursive formula, for  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{2n+5}(w) &= w^2 \Phi_{2n+3}(w) \\ &\quad - \sum_{m=0}^n \sum_{k=0}^{n-m} \frac{H_{2n-2m-2k+1} H_{2k+1}}{(2n-2m-2k+1)(2k+1)} \Phi_{2m+1}(w) \\ &\quad + 2 \sum_{m=0}^{n+1} \frac{H_{2n-2m+3}}{2n-2m+3} \Phi_{2m+1} + w H_{2n+3}, \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, the differentiation of (19) with respect to  $\lambda$  obtains

$$\frac{w \tilde{p}'(\lambda)}{\tilde{p}^2(\lambda) - w^2} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \tilde{\Phi}_{2n+1}(w) \lambda^{-2n-2}.$$

# The conservation laws

Consider the symmetry constraint for **real  $u$  and  $S$**  [Bogdanov, Konopelchenko & Moro,2004]

$$u_x = (S^i)_{z\bar{z}}, \quad (24)$$

- $S^i = S(\mu_i)$  is evaluated at some point  $\mu_i$ .
- $\partial_z S^i = p(\mu_i) = p^i$  and  $\partial_{\bar{z}} S^i = q(\mu_i) = q^i = \bar{p}^i$  obey the algebraic relation  $u = p^i q^i = p^i \bar{p}^i$  (by the extra equation (10)).
- Eq.(24) implies  $p_{\bar{z}}^i = q_z^i = u_x$ .



**Remark.** In the context of nonlinear geometry optics, arising from the high-frequency limit of Maxwell equations [Konopelchenko & Moro 04–05], one has

- $u = n^2 = \varepsilon_0 \mu$ ,  $n$  being the refractive index.
- $u = S_z^i S_{\bar{z}}^i \Rightarrow$  standard Eikonal equation in two dimensions.

Under the symmetry reductions, we discuss the relations of conserved densities and the associated Faber polynomials along the following two ways.

**(I)** Let us take the derivatives of  $S(\lambda)$  with respect to  $z, \bar{z}, x$  and noticing that  $-2\mathcal{F}_{z\bar{z}} = u$ , we have

$$\frac{\partial^3 S(\lambda)}{\partial z \partial \bar{z} \partial x} = -D(\lambda) \mathcal{F}_{z\bar{z}x} = \frac{1}{2} D(\lambda) u_x = \frac{1}{2} D(\lambda) S_{z\bar{z}}^i. \quad (25)$$

Comparing to (3), it follows that

$$(p(\lambda))_x = \left( \frac{1}{2} D(\lambda) S^i \right)_z = -\frac{1}{2} \partial_z \left( \log \frac{p(\lambda) - p^i}{p(\lambda) + p^i} \right), \quad (26)$$

$$(q(\lambda))_x = \left( \frac{1}{2} D(\lambda) S^i \right)_{\bar{z}} = -\frac{1}{2} \partial_{\bar{z}} \left( \log \frac{p(\lambda) - p^i}{p(\lambda) + p^i} \right). \quad (27)$$

Using (15) with  $w$  replaced by  $p^i$  and the expansions of  $p(\lambda)$  and  $q(\lambda)$ , Eqs. (26) and (27) provide that the Hamilton-Jacobi equations (17) can be read respectively by

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial p^i}{\partial t_{2n+1}} &= \partial_z \Phi_{2n+1}(p^i) = -\partial_x H_{2n+1}, \\ \frac{\partial \bar{p}^i}{\partial t_{2n+1}} &= \partial_{\bar{z}} \Phi_{2n+1}(p^i) = -\partial_x \hat{H}_{2n+1},\end{aligned}\quad (28)$$

where  $H_{2n+1} \equiv 2\partial_z \partial_{t_{2n+1}} \mathcal{F}$  and  $\hat{H}_{2n+1} \equiv 2\partial_{\bar{z}} \partial_{t_{2n+1}} \mathcal{F}$ . Hence,  $H_{2n+1}$  and  $\hat{H}_{2n+1}$  appear to be the conserved densities characterized by the associated Hamilton-Jacobi equations. They are connected by the compatibility relations

$$\partial_{\bar{z}} H_{2n+1} = \partial_z \hat{H}_{2n+1},$$

and can be obtained by solving (28).

(II) In the similar way, the differentiation of  $\tilde{S}(\lambda)$  with respect to  $z, \bar{z}, x$  shows that

$$\frac{\partial^3 \tilde{S}(\lambda)}{\partial z \partial \bar{z} \partial x} = -\tilde{D}(\lambda) \mathcal{F}_{z\bar{z}x} = \frac{1}{2} \tilde{D}(\lambda) u_x = \frac{1}{2} \tilde{D}(\lambda) S_{z\bar{z}}^i,$$

We finally get the conservation laws

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \bar{p}^i}{\partial \bar{t}_{2n+1}} &= \partial_{\bar{z}} \tilde{\Phi}_{2n+1}(\bar{p}^i) = -\partial_x \bar{H}_{2n+1}, \\ \frac{\partial p^i}{\partial \bar{t}_{2n+1}} &= \partial_z \tilde{\Phi}_{2n+1}(\bar{p}^i) = -\partial_x \tilde{H}_{2n+1}. \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

where  $\bar{H}_{2n+1} \equiv 2\partial_{\bar{z}}\partial_{\bar{t}_{2n+1}}\mathcal{F}$  and  $\tilde{H}_{2n+1} \equiv 2\partial_z\partial_{\bar{t}_{2n+1}}\mathcal{F}$ . They obey

$$\partial_z \bar{H}_{2n+1} = \partial_{\bar{z}} \tilde{H}_{2n+1}.$$

# Relations of $\hat{H}_{2n+1}$ and $H_{2n+1}$

Putting  $p(\lambda) = \lambda - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^{-2n-1}}{2n+1} H_{2n+1}$ ,  $q(\lambda) = -\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^{-2n-1}}{2n+1} \hat{H}_{2n+1}$  into  $p(\lambda)q(\lambda) = u$ , and comparing the coefficients of powers  $\lambda$ , we can solve recursively

$$\hat{H}_1 = -u, \quad \hat{H}_3 = -3uH_1, \quad \hat{H}_5 = -\frac{5}{3}u(3H_1^2 + H_3),$$

$$\hat{H}_7 = -\frac{7}{3}u(3H_1^3 + 2H_1H_3 + \frac{3}{5}H_5), \quad \dots$$

# Relations of $\hat{H}_{2n+1}$ and $H_{2n+1}$

Putting  $p(\lambda) = \lambda - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^{-2n-1}}{2n+1} H_{2n+1}$ ,  $q(\lambda) = -\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^{-2n-1}}{2n+1} \hat{H}_{2n+1}$  into  $p(\lambda)q(\lambda) = u$ , and comparing the coefficients of powers  $\lambda$ , we can solve recursively

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{H}_1 &= -u, & \hat{H}_3 &= -3uH_1, & \hat{H}_5 &= -\frac{5}{3}u(3H_1^2 + H_3), \\ \hat{H}_7 &= -\frac{7}{3}u(3H_1^3 + 2H_1H_3 + \frac{3}{5}H_5), & \dots & \end{aligned}$$

**Remark.** The case of  $n = 1$  in (13) reduces to the **dVN equation**

$$u_{\tau_3} = 3(uH_1)_z + 3(u\bar{H}_1)_{\bar{z}}, \quad u_z = -(H_1)_{\bar{z}}, \quad (30)$$

with  $\tau := \tau_3$ ,  $V := 3H_1$ .

# How to construct conserved densities?

For simplifying calculations, we use Faber polynomials (22), (23) and the identities

$$\begin{aligned}p_z^i &= p_x^i - u_x, \\u_z p^i &= u p_x^i - u u_x + u_x (p^i)^2.\end{aligned}$$

**Example 1.** By (28), for  $n = 0$

$$H_{1x} = -\Phi_{1z} = -p_z^i = (u - p^i)_x,$$

After integrating both sides with respect to  $x$  one yields

$$H_1 = u - p^i = p^i \bar{p}^i - p^i.$$

**Example 2.** For  $n = 1$  in (28), we use the expressions of  $\Phi_3$  and  $H_1$  to get

$$\begin{aligned} H_{3x} &= -\Phi_{3z} = -((p^i)^3 + 3(u - p^i)p^i)_z, \\ &= (3(u - p^i)^2 - (p^i)^3)_x = (3H_1^2 - (p^i)^3)_x, \end{aligned}$$

After integrating both sides with respect to  $x$ , we get

$$H_3 = 3H_1^2 - (p^i)^3.$$



**Example 2.** For  $n = 1$  in (28), we use the expressions of  $\Phi_3$  and  $H_1$  to get

$$\begin{aligned} H_{3x} &= -\Phi_{3z} = -((p^i)^3 + 3(u - p^i)p^i)_z, \\ &= (3(u - p^i)^2 - (p^i)^3)_x = (3H_1^2 - (p^i)^3)_x, \end{aligned}$$

After integrating both sides with respect to  $x$ , we get

$$H_3 = 3H_1^2 - (p^i)^3.$$

Similar calculations can yield

$$\begin{aligned} H_5 &= -10H_1^3 + \frac{20}{3}H_1H_3 - (p^i)^5, \\ H_7 &= 7 \left( 5H_1^4 - 5H_1^2H_3 + \frac{6}{5}H_1H_5 + \frac{1}{3}H_3^2 - \frac{(p^i)^7}{7} \right). \end{aligned}$$

# Hodograph equations

The conservation laws (28), (29) provide that

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{pmatrix} p^i \\ \bar{p}^i \end{pmatrix}_{t_{2n+1}} &= \partial_{p^i} \Phi_{2n+1} \begin{pmatrix} p^i \\ \bar{p}^i \end{pmatrix}_z + \partial_{\bar{p}^i} \Phi_{2n+1} \begin{pmatrix} p^i \\ \bar{p}^i \end{pmatrix}_{\bar{z}}, \\ \begin{pmatrix} p^i \\ \bar{p}^i \end{pmatrix}_{\bar{t}_{2n+1}} &= \partial_{p^i} \overline{\Phi_{2n+1}} \begin{pmatrix} p^i \\ \bar{p}^i \end{pmatrix}_z + \partial_{\bar{p}^i} \overline{\Phi_{2n+1}} \begin{pmatrix} p^i \\ \bar{p}^i \end{pmatrix}_{\bar{z}}, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the fact that  $p_{\bar{z}}^i = \bar{p}_z^i$ .

# Hodograph equations

The conservation laws (28), (29) provide that

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \begin{matrix} p^i \\ \bar{p}^i \end{matrix} \right)_{t_{2n+1}} &= \partial_{p^i} \Phi_{2n+1} \left( \begin{matrix} p^i \\ \bar{p}^i \end{matrix} \right)_z + \partial_{\bar{p}^i} \Phi_{2n+1} \left( \begin{matrix} p^i \\ \bar{p}^i \end{matrix} \right)_{\bar{z}}, \\ \left( \begin{matrix} p^i \\ \bar{p}^i \end{matrix} \right)_{\bar{t}_{2n+1}} &= \partial_{p^i} \overline{\Phi_{2n+1}} \left( \begin{matrix} p^i \\ \bar{p}^i \end{matrix} \right)_z + \partial_{\bar{p}^i} \overline{\Phi_{2n+1}} \left( \begin{matrix} p^i \\ \bar{p}^i \end{matrix} \right)_{\bar{z}}, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the fact that  $p_z^i = \bar{p}_{\bar{z}}^i$ .

**The dVN hierarchy** is then governed by

$$\left( \begin{matrix} p^i \\ \bar{p}^i \end{matrix} \right)_{\tau_{2n+1}} = \partial_{p^i} M_{2n+1} \left( \begin{matrix} p^i \\ \bar{p}^i \end{matrix} \right)_z + \partial_{\bar{p}^i} M_{2n+1} \left( \begin{matrix} p^i \\ \bar{p}^i \end{matrix} \right)_{\bar{z}}, \quad n \geq 1, \quad (31)$$

where  $M_{2n+1} = \Phi_{2n+1} + \overline{\Phi_{2n+1}}$ .

The above equation has the following implicit form of hodograph equations

$$z + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_{2n+1}(p^i, \bar{p}^i) \tau_{2n+1} = F(p^i, \bar{p}^i),$$

$$\bar{z} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g_{2n+1}(p^i, \bar{p}^i) \tau_{2n+1} = G(p^i, \bar{p}^i),$$
(32)

where  $F$  and  $G$  are the initial data at  $\tau_{2n+1} = 0$ .

$\Rightarrow G$  and  $F$  obey the constraint

$$F_{\bar{p}^i} = G_{p^i},$$
(33)

$$p^i F_{p^i} = -\bar{p}^i G_{\bar{p}^i} - (1 - p^i - \bar{p}^i) G_{p^i}.$$
(34)

- (33)  $\Rightarrow$  there exists  $\varphi(p^i, \bar{p}^i)$  s.t.  $F = \partial_{p^i} \varphi$ ,  $G = \partial_{\bar{p}^i} \varphi$ .
- (34)  $\Rightarrow p^i \varphi_{p^i p^i} + \bar{p}^i \varphi_{\bar{p}^i \bar{p}^i} + (1 - p^i - \bar{p}^i) \varphi_{p^i \bar{p}^i} = 0$ .

- (33)  $\Rightarrow$  there exists  $\varphi(p^i, \bar{p}^i)$  s.t.  $F = \partial_{p^i} \varphi$ ,  $G = \partial_{\bar{p}^i} \varphi$ .
- (34)  $\Rightarrow p^i \varphi_{p^i p^i} + \bar{p}^i \varphi_{\bar{p}^i \bar{p}^i} + (1 - p^i - \bar{p}^i) \varphi_{p^i \bar{p}^i} = 0$ .

Let  $p^i = (\rho_1 - i\rho_2)/2$ ,  $\bar{p}^i = (\rho_1 + i\rho_2)/2$ , then

$$\varphi_{\rho_1 \rho_1} + 2\rho_2 \varphi_{\rho_1 \rho_2} + (1 - 2\rho_1) \varphi_{\rho_2 \rho_2} = 0. \quad (35)$$

- (33)  $\Rightarrow$  there exists  $\varphi(p^i, \bar{p}^i)$  s.t.  $F = \partial_{p^i} \varphi$ ,  $G = \partial_{\bar{p}^i} \varphi$ .
- (34)  $\Rightarrow p^i \varphi_{p^i p^i} + \bar{p}^i \varphi_{\bar{p}^i \bar{p}^i} + (1 - p^i - \bar{p}^i) \varphi_{p^i \bar{p}^i} = 0$ .

Let  $p^i = (\rho_1 - i\rho_2)/2$ ,  $\bar{p}^i = (\rho_1 + i\rho_2)/2$ , then

$$\varphi_{\rho_1 \rho_1} + 2\rho_2 \varphi_{\rho_1 \rho_2} + (1 - 2\rho_1) \varphi_{\rho_2 \rho_2} = 0. \quad (35)$$

In fact, due to the existence of  $\varphi$ ,

- $F = \sum_{n \geq 0} \mu_n f_{2n+1}$ ,  $G = \sum_{n \geq 0} \xi_n g_{2n+1}$ , where  $\mu_n = \xi_n$ .

- (33)  $\Rightarrow$  there exists  $\varphi(p^i, \bar{p}^i)$  s.t.  $F = \partial_{p^i} \varphi$ ,  $G = \partial_{\bar{p}^i} \varphi$ .
- (34)  $\Rightarrow p^i \varphi_{p^i p^i} + \bar{p}^i \varphi_{\bar{p}^i \bar{p}^i} + (1 - p^i - \bar{p}^i) \varphi_{p^i \bar{p}^i} = 0$ .

Let  $p^i = (\rho_1 - i\rho_2)/2$ ,  $\bar{p}^i = (\rho_1 + i\rho_2)/2$ , then

$$\varphi_{\rho_1 \rho_1} + 2\rho_2 \varphi_{\rho_1 \rho_2} + (1 - 2\rho_1) \varphi_{\rho_2 \rho_2} = 0. \quad (35)$$

In fact, due to the existence of  $\varphi$ ,

- $F = \sum_{n \geq 0} \mu_n f_{2n+1}$ ,  $G = \sum_{n \geq 0} \xi_n g_{2n+1}$ , where  $\mu_n = \xi_n$ .
- We deduce that  $\varphi$  has the **polynomial-type solution** in  $\rho_1, \rho_2$ :

$$\varphi = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mu_n M_{2n+1}(\rho_1, \rho_2) \quad (36)$$



For instance, some cases are established as follows.

①  $\varphi = M_1 = \Phi_1 + \overline{\Phi_1} = \rho_1.$

For instance, some cases are established as follows.

①  $\varphi = M_1 = \Phi_1 + \overline{\Phi_1} = \rho_1.$

②  $\varphi = M_3 = \Phi_3 + \overline{\Phi_3} = \rho_1^3 - 3\rho_1^2 + \frac{3}{2}(\rho_1^2 + \rho_2^2),$  we derive

$$\varphi_{\rho_1\rho_1} = 6\rho_1 - 3, \quad \varphi_{\rho_1\rho_2} = 0, \quad \varphi_{\rho_2\rho_2} = 3,$$

which satisfy (35).

For instance, some cases are established as follows.

$$\textcircled{1} \quad \varphi = M_1 = \Phi_1 + \overline{\Phi_1} = \rho_1.$$

$$\textcircled{2} \quad \varphi = M_3 = \Phi_3 + \overline{\Phi_3} = \rho_1^3 - 3\rho_1^2 + \frac{3}{2}(\rho_1^2 + \rho_2^2), \text{ we derive}$$

$$\varphi_{\rho_1\rho_1} = 6\rho_1 - 3, \quad \varphi_{\rho_1\rho_2} = 0, \quad \varphi_{\rho_2\rho_2} = 3,$$

which satisfy (35).

$$\textcircled{3} \quad \varphi = M_5 = \Phi_5 + \overline{\Phi_5} = \rho_1^5 - \frac{20}{3}\rho_1^4 + 10\rho_1^3 + \frac{5}{3}\rho_1^2(\rho_1^2 + \rho_2^2) - \frac{15}{2}\rho_1(\rho_1^2 + \rho_2^2) + \frac{5}{3}(\rho_1^2 + \rho_2^2)^2,$$

we have the following set of equations satisfied by (35):

$$\varphi_{\rho_1\rho_1} = 20\rho_1^3 - 40\rho_1^2 + 15\rho_1 + 10\rho_2^2,$$

$$\varphi_{\rho_1\rho_2} = 20\rho_1\rho_2 - 15\rho_2,$$

$$\varphi_{\rho_2\rho_2} = 10\rho_1^2 - 15\rho_1 + 20\rho_2^2.$$

**Remark.** One has several simple cases of finding solutions of the certain PDEs in Eq. (35):

(a)  $\varphi_{\rho_1\rho_1} = 0$  and  $2\rho_2\varphi_{\rho_1\rho_2} + (1 - 2\rho_1)\varphi_{\rho_2\rho_2} = 0$ ,

(b)  $\varphi_{\rho_1\rho_2} = 0$  and  $\varphi_{\rho_1\rho_1} + (1 - 2\rho_1)\varphi_{\rho_2\rho_2} = 0$ ,

(c)  $\varphi_{\rho_2\rho_2} = 0$  and  $\varphi_{\rho_1\rho_1} + 2\rho_2\varphi_{\rho_1\rho_2} = 0$ .

**Remark.** One has several simple cases of finding solutions of the certain PDEs in Eq. (35):

(a)  $\varphi_{\rho_1\rho_1} = 0$  and  $2\rho_2\varphi_{\rho_1\rho_2} + (1 - 2\rho_1)\varphi_{\rho_2\rho_2} = 0$ ,

(b)  $\varphi_{\rho_1\rho_2} = 0$  and  $\varphi_{\rho_1\rho_1} + (1 - 2\rho_1)\varphi_{\rho_2\rho_2} = 0$ ,

(c)  $\varphi_{\rho_2\rho_2} = 0$  and  $\varphi_{\rho_1\rho_1} + 2\rho_2\varphi_{\rho_1\rho_2} = 0$ .

- Cases (a) and (b) have solutions of polynomial type involved in (36).

**Remark.** One has several simple cases of finding solutions of the certain PDEs in Eq. (35):

(a)  $\varphi_{\rho_1\rho_1} = 0$  and  $2\rho_2\varphi_{\rho_1\rho_2} + (1 - 2\rho_1)\varphi_{\rho_2\rho_2} = 0$ ,

(b)  $\varphi_{\rho_1\rho_2} = 0$  and  $\varphi_{\rho_1\rho_1} + (1 - 2\rho_1)\varphi_{\rho_2\rho_2} = 0$ ,

(c)  $\varphi_{\rho_2\rho_2} = 0$  and  $\varphi_{\rho_1\rho_1} + 2\rho_2\varphi_{\rho_1\rho_2} = 0$ .

- Cases (a) and (b) have solutions of polynomial type involved in (36).
- However, case (c) has solutions of the form:

$$\varphi = c_0 + c_1\rho_1 + c_2\rho_2 + c_3\rho_2 \exp(-2\rho_1).$$

## Example of hodograph solution

**Example 3.** The (2+1)-dimensional solutions in  $(z, \bar{z}, \tau)$ : Now  $\Phi_3 = (p^i)^3 + 3H_1 p^i$  with  $H_1 = u - p^i$ , we expand (32) up to  $\tau_3 = \tau$

$$\begin{aligned} F(p^i, \bar{p}^i) &= z + f_3 \tau = z + \left( 3(p^i + \bar{p}^i)^2 - 6p^i \right) \tau, \\ G(p^i, \bar{p}^i) &= \bar{z} + g_3 \tau = \bar{z} + \left( 3(p^i + \bar{p}^i)^2 - 6\bar{p}^i \right) \tau. \end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

## Example of hodograph solution

**Example 3.** The (2+1)-dimensional solutions in  $(z, \bar{z}, \tau)$ : Now  $\Phi_3 = (p^i)^3 + 3H_1 p^i$  with  $H_1 = u - p^i$ , we expand (32) up to  $\tau_3 = \tau$

$$\begin{aligned} F(p^i, \bar{p}^i) &= z + f_3 \tau = z + \left( 3(p^i + \bar{p}^i)^2 - 6p^i \right) \tau, \\ G(p^i, \bar{p}^i) &= \bar{z} + g_3 \tau = \bar{z} + \left( 3(p^i + \bar{p}^i)^2 - 6\bar{p}^i \right) \tau. \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

Choosing, for example,  $F = f_3, G = g_3$  for  $\mu_1 = \xi_1 = 1$ , we solve  $p^i$  by

$$\begin{aligned} p^i &= \frac{1}{12(\tau - 1)} \left( 3(\tau - 1) + (z - \bar{z}) \right. \\ &\quad \left. \pm \sqrt{9(\tau - 1)^2 - 6(\tau - 1)(z + \bar{z})} \right), \end{aligned}$$



Therefore,  $u$  is read as

$$u = p^i \bar{p}^i = \frac{1}{144(\tau - 1)^2} \left( 18(\tau - 1)^2 - 6(\tau - 1)(z + \bar{z}) - (z - \bar{z})^2 \pm 6(\tau - 1)\sqrt{9(\tau - 1)^2 - 6(\tau - 1)(z + \bar{z})} \right). \quad (38)$$

One can verify that (38) satisfies the dVN equation (30).

Therefore,  $u$  is read as

$$u = p^i \bar{p}^i = \frac{1}{144(\tau - 1)^2} \left( 18(\tau - 1)^2 - 6(\tau - 1)(z + \bar{z}) - (z - \bar{z})^2 \pm 6(\tau - 1)\sqrt{9(\tau - 1)^2 - 6(\tau - 1)(z + \bar{z})} \right). \quad (38)$$

One can verify that (38) satisfies the dVN equation (30).

Consider  $\partial S^i / \partial z = p^i$  and  $\partial S^i / \partial \bar{z} = \bar{p}^i$ , then  $S^i$  is solved by

$$S^i(z, \bar{z}, \tau) = \frac{1}{72(\tau - 1)^2} \left( 3(\tau - 1)(z - \bar{z})^2 + 18(\tau - 1)^2(z + \bar{z} + 4C) - 2\sqrt{3} \left( 3(\tau - 1)^2 - 2(\tau - 1)(z + \bar{z}) \right)^{3/2} \right),$$

where  $C$  is an arbitrary constant.

## 2N-component case

Let us consider a more general symmetry constraint of the form [Bogdanov, Konopelchenko & Moro, 2004]

$$u_x = \sum_{i=1}^N \epsilon_i S_{z\bar{z}}^i. \quad \text{Ansatz: } \begin{cases} u = p^i \bar{p}^i, \forall i = 1, \dots, N, \\ \sum_{i=1}^N \epsilon_i = 1. \end{cases}$$

we have the following relations between conserved densities and the associated Faber polynomials:

$$(H_{2n+1})_x = - \sum_{i=1}^N \epsilon_i \partial_z \Phi_{2n+1}(p^i),$$

$$(\hat{H}_{2n+1})_x = - \sum_{i=1}^N \epsilon_i \partial_{\bar{z}} \Phi_{2n+1}(p^i),$$

where the Faber polynomials  $\Phi_{2n+1}(p^i)$  are defined as before.

In similarly lengthy calculations, some of  $H_{2n+1}$  for the  $2N$ -reduction system are given by

$$H_1 = u - \sum_{i=1}^N \epsilon_i p^i,$$

$$H_3 = 3H_1^2 - \sum_{i=1}^N \epsilon_i (p^i)^3,$$

$$H_5 = -10H_1^3 + \frac{20}{3}H_1H_3 - \sum_{i=1}^N \epsilon_i (p^i)^5,$$

$$H_7 = 35H_1^4 - 35H_1^2H_3 + \frac{42}{5}H_1H_5 + \frac{7}{3}H_3^2 - \sum_{i=1}^N \epsilon_i (p^i)^7.$$

Under the symmetry constraint, the Hamilton-Jacobi equations can now be written in the following way:

$$\frac{\partial p^k}{\partial t_{2n+1}} = \partial_z \Phi_{2n+1}(p^k; p^1, \dots, p^N, \bar{p}^1, \dots, \bar{p}^N),$$

$$\frac{\partial \bar{p}^k}{\partial t_{2n+1}} = \partial_{\bar{z}} \Phi_{2n+1}(p^k; p^1, \dots, p^N, \bar{p}^1, \dots, \bar{p}^N),$$

$$\frac{\partial p^k}{\partial \bar{t}_{2n+1}} = \partial_z \bar{\Phi}_{2n+1}(\bar{p}^k; p^1, \dots, p^N, \bar{p}^1, \dots, \bar{p}^N),$$

$$\frac{\partial \bar{p}^k}{\partial \bar{t}_{2n+1}} = \partial_{\bar{z}} \bar{\Phi}_{2n+1}(\bar{p}^k; p^1, \dots, p^N, \bar{p}^1, \dots, \bar{p}^N),$$

where  $k = 1, \dots, N$ .

After incorporating the above evolution equations to the  $\tau_{2n+1}$ -flow of dVN hierarchy and noting that  $p_{\bar{z}}^i = \bar{p}_z^i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, N$ , we get

$$\begin{pmatrix} p^k \\ \bar{p}^k \end{pmatrix}_{\tau_{2n+1}} = \sum_{i=1}^N f_{2n+1}^{(i)} \begin{pmatrix} p^i \\ \bar{p}^i \end{pmatrix}_z + \sum_{i=1}^N g_{2n+1}^{(i)} \begin{pmatrix} p^i \\ \bar{p}^i \end{pmatrix}_{\bar{z}},$$

where  $k = 1, \dots, N$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} f_{2n+1}^{(i)}(p^k, \bar{p}^k) &= \partial_{p^i} (\Phi_{2n+1}(p^k) + \bar{\Phi}_{2n+1}(\bar{p}^k)), \\ g_{2n+1}^{(i)}(p^k, \bar{p}^k) &= \partial_{\bar{p}^i} (\Phi_{2n+1}(p^k) + \bar{\Phi}_{2n+1}(\bar{p}^k)). \end{aligned}$$

For example, in the case of  $N = 2$  we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} p^1 \\ \bar{p}^1 \\ p^2 \\ \bar{p}^2 \end{pmatrix}_{\tau_{2n+1}} = \begin{pmatrix} f_{1,1}^{(1)} I_2 + g_{1,1}^{(1)} \mathbb{A}(p^1) & f_{1,1}^{(2)} I_2 + g_{1,1}^{(2)} \mathbb{A}(p^2) \\ f_{2,2}^{(1)} I_2 + g_{2,2}^{(1)} \mathbb{A}(p^1) & f_{2,2}^{(2)} I_2 + g_{2,2}^{(2)} \mathbb{A}(p^2) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} p^1 \\ \bar{p}^1 \\ p^2 \\ \bar{p}^2 \end{pmatrix}_z,$$

where we denote  $f_{k,k}^{(j)} = f_{2n+1}^{(j)}(p^k, \bar{p}^k)$ ,  $g_{k,k}^{(j)} = g_{2n+1}^{(j)}(p^k, \bar{p}^k)$ , and  $I_2$  is the  $2 \times 2$  identity matrix and

$$\mathbb{A}(p^i) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -\frac{\bar{p}^i}{p^i} & \frac{1-p^i-\bar{p}^i}{p^i} \end{pmatrix}, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

In principle, the last evolution equation can be solved by hodograph method. But exact solutions are not found.